

$\Lambda(1405) 1/2^-$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: * * * *

In the 1998 Note on the $\Lambda(1405)$ in PDG 98, R.H. Dalitz discussed the S-shaped cusp behavior of the intensity at the $N\bar{K}$ threshold observed in THOMAS 73 and HEMINGWAY 85. He commented that this behavior "is characteristic of S-wave coupling; the other below threshold hyperon, the $\Sigma(1385)$, has no such threshold distortion because its $N\bar{K}$ coupling is P-wave. For $\Lambda(1405)$ this asymmetry is the sole direct evidence that $J^P = 1/2^-$."

A recent measurement by the CLAS collaboration, MORIYA 14, definitively established the long-assumed $J^P = 1/2^-$ spin-parity assignment of the $\Lambda(1405)$. The experiment produced the $\Lambda(1405)$ spin-polarized in the photoproduction process $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda(1405)$ and measured the decay of the $\Lambda(1405)$ (polarized) $\rightarrow \Sigma^+(\text{polarized})\pi^-$. The observed isotropic decay of $\Lambda(1405)$ is consistent with spin $J = 1/2$. The polarization transfer to the $\Sigma^+(\text{polarized})$ direction revealed negative parity, and thus established $J^P = 1/2^-$.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$\Lambda(1405)$ REGION POLE POSITIONS

REAL PART

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| 1429^{+8}_{-7} | 1 MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 1325^{+15}_{-15} | 2 MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 1434^{+2}_{-2} | 3 MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 1330^{+4}_{-5} | 4 MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 1421^{+3}_{-2} | 5 GUO | 13 DPWA |
| 1388 ± 9 | 6 GUO | 13 DPWA |
| 1424^{+7}_{-23} | 7 IKEDA | 12 DPWA |
| 1381^{+18}_{-6} | 8 IKEDA | 12 DPWA |

¹ High-mass pole, solution number 4.

² Low-mass pole, solution number 4.

³ High-mass pole, solution number 2.

⁴ Low-mass pole, solution number 2.

⁵ High-mass pole, fit II

⁶ Low-mass pole, fit II.

⁷ High-mass pole

⁸ Low-mass pole

–2×IMAGINARY PART

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | |
| 24^{+4}_{-6} | ¹ MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 180^{+24}_{-36} | ² MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 20^{+4}_{-2} | ³ MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 112^{+34}_{-22} | ⁴ MAI | 15 DPWA |
| 38^{+16}_{-10} | ⁵ GUO | 13 DPWA |
| 228^{+48}_{-50} | ⁶ GUO | 13 DPWA |
| 52^{+6}_{-28} | ⁷ IKEDA | 12 DPWA |
| 162^{+38}_{-16} | ⁸ IKEDA | 12 DPWA |

- ¹ High-mass pole, solution number 4.
² Low-mass pole, solution number 4.
³ High-mass pole, solution number 2.
⁴ Low-mass pole, solution number 2.
⁵ High-mass pole, fit II
⁶ Low-mass pole, fit II.
⁷ High-mass pole
⁸ Low-mass pole

 $\Lambda(1405)$ MASS**PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS**

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| $1405.1^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$ OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 1405^{+11}_{-9} | | HASSANVAND 13 | SPEC | $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda(1405)K^+$ |
| $1405^{+1.4}_{-1.0}$ | | ESMAILI 10 | RVUE | ${}^4\text{He} K^- \rightarrow \Sigma^\pm \pi^\mp X$ at rest |
| 1406.5 ± 4.0 | | ¹ DALITZ 91 | | M-matrix fit |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | |
| 1391 ± 1 | 700 | ¹ HEMINGWAY 85 | HBC | $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c |
| ~ 1405 | 400 | ² THOMAS 73 | HBC | $\pi^- p$ 1.69 GeV/c |
| 1405 | 120 | BARBARO-... 68B | DBC | $K^- d$ 2.1–2.7 GeV/c |
| 1400 ± 5 | 67 | BIRMINGHAM 66 | HBC | $K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c |
| 1382 ± 8 | | ENGLER 65 | HDBC | $\pi^- p, \pi^+ d$ 1.68 GeV/c |
| 1400 ± 24 | | MUSGRAVE 65 | HBC | $\bar{p} p$ 3–4 GeV/c |
| 1410 | | ALEXANDER 62 | HBC | $\pi^- p$ 2.1 GeV/c |
| 1405 | | ALSTON 62 | HBC | $K^- p$ 1.2–0.5 GeV/c |
| 1405 | | ALSTON 61B | HBC | $K^- p$ 1.15 GeV/c |

¹ DALITZ 91 fits the HEMINGWAY 85 data.

² THOMAS 73 data is fit by CHAO 73 (see next section).

EXTRAPOLATIONS BELOW $N\bar{K}$ THRESHOLD

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 1407.56 or 1407.50 | ¹ KIMURA | 00 | potential model |
| 1411 | ² MARTIN | 81 | K-matrix fit |
| 1406 | ³ CHAO | 73 | DPWA 0-range fit (sol. B) |
| 1421 | MARTIN | 70 | RVUE Constant K-matrix |
| 1416 ± 4 | MARTIN | 69 | HBC Constant K-matrix |
| 1403 ± 3 | KIM | 67 | HBC K-matrix fit |
| 1407.5 ± 1.2 | ⁴ KITTEL | 66 | HBC 0-effective-range fit |
| 1410.7 ± 1.0 | KIM | 65 | HBC 0-effective-range fit |
| 1409.6 ± 1.7 | ⁴ SAKITT | 65 | HBC 0-effective-range fit |

¹ The KIMURA 00 values are from fits A and B from a coupled-channel potential model using low-energy $\bar{K}N$ and $\Sigma\pi$ data, kaonic-hydrogen x-ray measurements, and our $\Lambda(1405)$ mass and width. The results bear mainly on the *nature* of the $\Lambda(1405)$: three-quark state or $\bar{K}N$ bound state.

² The MARTIN 81 fit includes the $K^\pm p$ forward scattering amplitudes and the dispersion relations they must satisfy.

³ See also the accompanying paper of THOMAS 73.

⁴ Data of SAKITT 65 are used in the fit by KITTEL 66.

 $\Lambda(1405)$ WIDTH**PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS**

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|-------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| 50.5 ± 2.0 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 62 ± 10 | | HASSANVAND 13 | SPEC | $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda(1405)K^+$ |
| 50 ± 2 | | ¹ DALITZ | 91 | M-matrix fit |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 24 + - 3 | | ESMAILI | 10 | RVUE $^4\text{He } K^- \rightarrow \Sigma^\pm \pi^\mp X$ at rest |
| 32 ± 1 | 700 | ¹ HEMINGWAY | 85 | HBC $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c |
| 45 to 55 | 400 | ² THOMAS | 73 | HBC $\pi^- p$ 1.69 GeV/c |
| 35 | 120 | BARBARO-... | 68B | DBC $K^- d$ 2.1–2.7 GeV/c |
| 50 ± 10 | 67 | BIRMINGHAM | 66 | HBC $K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c |
| 89 ± 20 | | ENGLER | 65 | HDBC |
| 60 ± 20 | | MUSGRAVE | 65 | HBC |
| 35 ± 5 | | ALEXANDER | 62 | HBC |
| 50 | | ALSTON | 62 | HBC |
| 20 | | ALSTON | 61B | HBC |

¹ DALITZ 91 fits the HEMINGWAY 85 data.

² THOMAS 73 data is fit by CHAO 73 (see next section).

EXTRAPOLATIONS BELOW $N\bar{K}$ THRESHOLD

| <u>VALUE (MeV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> |
|---|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 50.24 or 50.26 | ¹ KIMURA | 00 | potential model |
| 30 | ² MARTIN | 81 | K-matrix fit |
| 55 | ^{3,4} CHAO | 73 | DPWA 0-range fit (sol. B) |
| 20 | MARTIN | 70 | RVUE Constant K-matrix |
| 29 ± 6 | MARTIN | 69 | HBC Constant K-matrix |

| | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|----|-----|--------------|
| 50 ± 5 | KIM | 67 | HBC | K-matrix fit |
| 34.1 ± 4.1 | ⁵ KITTEL | 66 | HBC | |
| 37.0 ± 3.2 | KIM | 65 | HBC | |
| 28.2 ± 4.1 | ⁵ SAKITT | 65 | HBC | |

¹ The KIMURA 00 values are from fits A and B from a coupled-channel potential model using low-energy $\bar{K}N$ and $\Sigma\pi$ data, kaonic-hydrogen x-ray measurements, and our $\Lambda(1405)$ mass and width. The results bear mainly on the *nature* of the $\Lambda(1405)$: three-quark state or $\bar{K}N$ bound state.

² The MARTIN 81 fit includes the $K^\pm p$ forward scattering amplitudes and the dispersion relations they must satisfy.

³ An asymmetric shape, with $\Gamma/2 = 41$ MeV below resonance, 14 MeV above.

⁴ See also the accompanying paper of THOMAS 73.

⁵ Data of SAKITT 65 are used in the fit by KITTEL 66.

$\Lambda(1405)$ DECAY MODES

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Γ_1 $\Sigma\pi$ | 100 % |
| Γ_2 $\Lambda\gamma$ | |
| Γ_3 $\Sigma^0\gamma$ | |
| Γ_4 $N\bar{K}$ | |

$\Lambda(1405)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

| $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)$ | | | Γ_2 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| <u>VALUE (keV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 27 ± 8 | BURKHARDT 91 | Isobar model fit | |

| $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)$ | | | Γ_3 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| <u>VALUE (keV)</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 10 ± 4 or 23 ± 7 | BURKHARDT 91 | Isobar model fit | |

$\Lambda(1405)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

| $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)$ | | | | | Γ_4/Γ_1 |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | | | |
| <3 | 95 | HEMINGWAY 85 | HBC | $K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c | |

$\Lambda(1405)$ REFERENCES

| | | | | |
|-------------|-----|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| MAI | 15 | EPJ A51 30 | M. Mai, U.-G. Meissner | (BONN, JULI) |
| MORIYA | 14 | PRL 112 082004 | K. Moriya <i>et al.</i> | (CLAS Collab.) JP |
| GUO | 13 | PR C87 035202 | Z.-H. Guo, J. Oller | |
| HASSANVAND | 13 | PR C87 055202 | M. Hassanvand <i>et al.</i> | |
| Also | | PR C88 019905 (errat.) | M. Hassanvand <i>et al.</i> | |
| IKEDA | 12 | NP A881 98 | Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo, W. Weise | (MUNT, RIKEN, TINT) |
| ESMAILI | 10 | PL B686 23 | J. Esmaili, Y. Akaishi, T. Yamazaki | (RIKEN, ISUT+) |
| KIMURA | 00 | PR C62 015206 | M. Kimura <i>et al.</i> | |
| PDG | 98 | EPJ C3 1 | C. Caso <i>et al.</i> | (PDG Collab.) |
| BURKHARDT | 91 | PR C44 607 | H. Burkhardt, J. Lowe | (NOTT, UNM, BIRM) |
| DALITZ | 91 | JP G17 289 | R.H. Dalitz, A. Deloff | (OXFTP, WINR) |
| HEMINGWAY | 85 | NP B253 742 | R.J. Hemingway | (CERN) J |
| MARTIN | 81 | NP B179 33 | A.D. Martin | (DURH) |
| CHAO | 73 | NP B56 46 | Y.A. Chao <i>et al.</i> | (RHEL, CMU, LOUC) |
| THOMAS | 73 | NP B56 15 | D.W. Thomas <i>et al.</i> | (CMU) J |
| MARTIN | 70 | NP B16 479 | A.D. Martin, G.G. Ross | (DURH) |
| MARTIN | 69 | PR 183 1352 | B.R. Martin, M. Sakitt | (LOUC, BNL) |
| Also | | PR 183 1345 | B.R. Martin, M. Sakitt | (LOUC, BNL) |
| BARBARO-... | 68B | PRL 21 573 | A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i> | (LRL, SLAC) |
| KIM | 67 | PRL 19 1074 | J.K. Kim | (YALE) |
| BIRMINGHAM | 66 | PR 152 1148 | M. Haque <i>et al.</i> | (BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF+) |
| KITTEL | 66 | PL 21 349 | W. Kittel, G. Otter, I. Wacek | (VIEN) |
| ENGLER | 65 | PRL 15 224 | A. Engler <i>et al.</i> | (CMU, BNL) IJ |
| KIM | 65 | PRL 14 29 | J.K. Kim | (COLU) |
| MUSGRAVE | 65 | NC 35 735 | B. Musgrave <i>et al.</i> | (BIRM, CERN, EPOL+) |
| SAKITT | 65 | PR 139 B719 | M. Sakitt <i>et al.</i> | (UMD, LRL) |
| ALEXANDER | 62 | PRL 8 447 | G. Alexander <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) I |
| ALSTON | 62 | CERN Conf. 311 | M.H. Alston <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) I |
| ALSTON | 61B | PRL 6 698 | M.H. Alston <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) I |

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

| | | | | |
|--|-----|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| IWASAKI | 97 | PRL 78 3067 | M. Iwasaki <i>et al.</i> | (KEK 228 Collab.) |
| FINK | 90 | PR C41 2720 | P.J.Jr. Fink <i>et al.</i> | (IBMY, ORST, ANSM) |
| LEINWEBER | 90 | ANP 198 203 | D.B. Leinweber | (MCMS) |
| MUELLER-GR... | 90 | NP A513 557 | A. Mueller-Groeling, K. Holinde, J. Speth | (JULI) |
| BARRETT | 89 | NC 102A 179 | R.C. Barrett | (SURR) |
| BATTY | 89 | NC 102A 255 | C.J. Batty, A. Gal | (RAL, HEBR) |
| CAPSTICK | 89 | Excited Baryons 88, p.32 | S. Capstick | (GUEL) |
| LOWE | 89 | NC 102A 167 | J. Lowe | (BIRM) |
| WHITEHOUSE | 89 | PRL 63 1352 | D.A. Whitehouse <i>et al.</i> | (BIRM, BOST, BRCO+) |
| SIEGEL | 88 | PR C38 2221 | P.B. Siegel, W. Weise | (REGE) |
| WORKMAN | 88 | PR D37 3117 | R.L. Workman, H.W. Fearing | (TRIU) |
| SCHNICK | 87 | PRL 58 1719 | J. Schnick, R.H. Landau | (ORST) |
| CAPSTICK | 86 | PR D34 2809 | S. Capstick, N. Isgur | (TNTO) |
| JENNINGS | 86 | PL B176 229 | B.K. Jennings | (TRIU) |
| MALTMAN | 86 | PR D34 1372 | K. Maltman, N. Isgur | (LANL, TNTO) |
| ZHONG | 86 | PL B171 471 | Y.S. Zhong <i>et al.</i> | (ADLD, TRIU, SURR) |
| BURKHARDT | 85 | NP A440 653 | H. Burkhardt, J. Lowe, A.S. Rosenthal | (NOTT+) |
| DAREWYCH | 85 | PR D32 1765 | J.W. Darewych, R. Koniuk, N. Isgur | (YORKC, TNTO) |
| VEIT | 85 | PR D31 1033 | E.A. Veit <i>et al.</i> | (TRIU, ADLD, SURR) |
| KIANG | 84 | PR C30 1638 | D. Kiang <i>et al.</i> | (DALH, MCMS) |
| MILLER | 84 | Conference paper | D.J. Miller | (LOUC) |
| Conf. Intersections between Particle and Nuclear Physics, p. 783 | | | | |
| VANDIJK | 84 | PR D30 937 | W. van Dijk | (MCMS) |
| VEIT | 84 | PL 137B 415 | E.A. Veit <i>et al.</i> | (TRIU, SURR, CERN) |
| DALITZ | 82 | Heid. Conf. | R.H. Dalitz <i>et al.</i> | (OXFTP) |
| Heidelberg Conf., p. 201 | | | | |
| DALITZ | 81 | Kaon Conf. | R.H. Dalitz, J.G. McGinley | (OXFTP) |
| Low and Intermediate Energy Kaon-Nucleon Physics, p.381 | | | | |
| MARTIN | 81B | Kaon Conf. | A.D. Martin | (DURH) |
| Low and Intermediate Energy Kaon-Nucleon Physics, p. 97 | | | | |
| OADES | 77 | NC 42A 462 | G.C. Oades, G. Rasche | (AARH, ZURI) |
| SHAW | 73 | Purdue Conf. 417 | G.L. Shaw | (UCI) |
| BARBARO-... | 72 | LBL-555 | A. Barbaro-Galtieri | (LBL) |
| DOBSON | 72 | PR D6 3256 | P.N. Dobson, R. McElhaney | (HAWA) |

| | | | | |
|--|----|-------------|--|---------------|
| RAJASEKA... | 72 | PR D5 610 | G. Rajasekaran | (TATA) |
| Earlier papers also cited in RAJASEKARAN 72. | | | | |
| CLINE | 71 | PRL 26 1194 | D. Cline, R. Laumann, J. Mapp | (WISC) |
| MARTIN | 71 | PL 35B 62 | A.D. Martin, A.D. Martin, G.G. Ross | (DURH, LOUC+) |
| DALITZ | 67 | PR 153 1617 | R.H. Dalitz, T.C. Wong, G. Rajasekaran | (OXFTP+) |
| DONALD | 66 | PL 22 711 | R.A. Donald <i>et al.</i> | (LIVP) |
| KADYK | 66 | PRL 17 599 | J.A. Kadyk <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) |
| ABRAMS | 65 | PR 139 B454 | G.S. Abrams, B. Sechi-Zorn | (UMD) |
