

$$\Delta(2420) \ 11/2^+$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{11}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Older and obsolete values are listed and referenced in the 2014 edition, Chinese Physics **C38** 070001 (2014).

$\Delta(2420)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2300 to 2500 (\approx 2400) OUR ESTIMATE			
$2454 \pm 4 \pm 11$	¹ SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2360 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2529	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2300	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
350 to 550 (\approx 450) OUR ESTIMATE			
$462 \pm 8 \pm 50$	¹ SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
420 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
621	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
620	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

$\Delta(2420)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20 to 40 (\approx 30) OUR ESTIMATE			
$30 \pm 1 \pm 7$	¹ SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
18 ± 6	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
33	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
39	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
−60 to 20 (\approx −20) OUR ESTIMATE			
$11 \pm 1 \pm 8$	¹ SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$−30 \pm 40$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
−45	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
−60	HOEHLER	93	ARGD $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

$\Delta(2420)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2300 to 2600 (≈ 2450) OUR ESTIMATE			
2633 ± 29	¹ ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
2400 ± 125	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2416 ± 17	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Statistical error only.

$\Delta(2420)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
300 to 700 (≈ 500) OUR ESTIMATE			
692 ± 47	¹ ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
450 ± 150	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
340 ± 28	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

¹ Statistical error only.

$\Delta(2420)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad N\pi$	5–10 %

$\Delta(2420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
5 to 10 (≈ 8) OUR ESTIMATE					
8.5 ± 0.8	¹ ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$		
8 ± 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$		
8.0 ± 1.5	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$		

¹ Statistical error only.

$\Delta(2420)$ REFERENCES

PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SVARC	14	PR C89 045205	A. Svarc <i>et al.</i>	(RBI Zagreb, UNI Tuzla)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP