

$\omega(1420)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

$\omega(1420)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(1400–1450) OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1418 \pm 30 \pm 10$	824	¹ AKHMETSHIN 17A	CMD3	$1.4\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$
1470 ± 50	13.1k	² AULCHENKO 15A	SND	$1.05\text{--}1.80 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$1382 \pm 23 \pm 70$		AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$1350 \pm 20 \pm 20$		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
$1400 \pm 50 \pm 130$	1.2M	³ ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1450 ± 10		⁴ HENNER	02 RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
1373 ± 70	177	⁵ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$1.2\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
1370 ± 25	5095	ANISOVICH	00H SPEC	$0.0 \rho \bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1400^{+100}_{-200}		⁶ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
~ 1400		⁷ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
~ 1460		⁸ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1440 ± 70		⁹ CLEGG	94 RVUE	
1419 ± 31	315	¹⁰ ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$
¹ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.				
² From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.				
³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.				
⁴ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.				
⁵ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho \pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.				
⁶ Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.				
⁷ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.				
⁸ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.				
⁹ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.				
¹⁰ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.				

$\omega(1420)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
(180–250) OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$104 \pm 35 \pm 10$	824	¹ AKHMETSHIN 17A	CMD3	$1.4\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$
880 ± 170	13.1k	² AULCHENKO 15A	SND	$1.05\text{--}1.80 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

480 ± 180		³ ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
130 ± 50 ± 100		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
450 ± 70 ± 70		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
870 ⁺⁵⁰⁰ ₋₃₀₀ ± 450	1.2M	⁴ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
199 ± 15		⁵ HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
188 ± 45	177	⁶ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	1.2–1.38	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
360 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₆₀	5095	ANISOVICH	00H	SPEC	0.0	$\rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
240 ± 70		⁷ CLEGG	94	RVUE		
174 ± 59	315	⁸ ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

² From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

³ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁴ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁵ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁶ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁷ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁸ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

$\omega(1420)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_j/Γ)
Γ_1 $\rho\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_3 $\omega\eta$	
Γ_4 $b_1(1235)\pi$	seen
Γ_5 e^+e^-	seen
Γ_6 $\pi^0\gamma$	

$\omega(1420)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\rho\pi)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \times \frac{\Gamma(e^+e^-)}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma} \times \frac{\Gamma_5}{\Gamma}$$

VALUE (units 10^{-6}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.73 ±0.08	13.1k	¹	AULCHENKO	15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.82 ±0.05 ±0.06			AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
0.65 ±0.13 ±0.21	1.2M	^{2,3}	ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.625±0.160		^{4,5}	CLEGG	94	RVUE	
0.466±0.178		^{6,7}	ANTONELLI	92	DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁴ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

⁶ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

⁷ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10⁻⁸) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

19.7±5.7			AUBERT	07AU	BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.9±1.9		¹	AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	1.2–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10⁻⁸) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.0±2.6±0.3	824	¹	AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1.6 ^{+0.9} _{-0.7}	898	²	ACHASOV	16B	SND	1.34–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

¹ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating. From an alternative fit $\Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow \omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.3 \pm 1.6$ eV.

² From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass and the width of $\omega(1420)$ are fixed to the 2014 edition (PDG 14) of this review.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10⁻⁸) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.23±0.14		¹	ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
2.03 ^{+0.70} _{-0.75}		²	AKHMETSHIN	05	CMD2	0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

² Using 1420 MeV and 220 MeV for the $\omega(1420)$ mass and width.

$\omega(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.301 ± 0.029 possibly seen	¹ HENNER AKHMETSHIN 00D	02 CMD2	RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_4

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.60 ± 0.16	5095	ANISOVICH	00H SPEC	$0.0 \rho\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.699 ± 0.029	¹ HENNER	02	RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
~ 6.6	1.2M	^{2,3} ACHASOV	03D	RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23 ± 1		¹ HENNER	02	RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ only.

$\omega(1420)$ REFERENCES

AKHMETSHIN 17A	PL B773 150	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV 16B	PR D94 092002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO 15A	JETP 121 27	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
	Translated from ZETF 148 34.		
PDG 14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV 10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AUBERT 07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B 04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV 03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER 02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV 01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ANISOVICH 00H	PL B485 341	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV 99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG 94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI 92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY 91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO 88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV 87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
	Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
CORDIER 81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV 81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)