

**$K^*(892)$** 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

 **$K^*(892)$  T-Matrix Pole  $\sqrt{s}$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

**(890 ± 14) – i (26 ± 6) OUR ESTIMATE**

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

(890 ± 2) – i (25.6 ± 1.2)	<sup>1</sup> PELAEZ	20	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(892 ± 1) – i (29 ± 1)	<sup>2</sup> PELAEZ	17	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$
(889 ± 13) – i (24 ± 4)	<sup>3</sup> PELAEZ	04A	RVUE	$\pi K \rightarrow \pi K$

<sup>1</sup> Extracted employing  $\pi K$  partial wave analysis from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88, Roy-Steiner equations and once subtracted forward dispersion relations.<sup>2</sup> Reanalysis of ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 satisfying Forward Dispersion Relations and using sequences of Pade approximants.<sup>3</sup> Reanalysis of data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88 in the unitarized ChPT model. **$K^*(892)$  MASS****CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

**891.67 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE**

892.2 ± 0.5 ± 1.7		ALBRECHT	20	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
892.6 ± 0.5	5840	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	– 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
888 ± 3		NAPIER	84	SPEC	+ 200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
891 ± 1		NAPIER	84	SPEC	– 200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
891.7 ± 2.1	3700	BARTH	83	HBC	+ 70 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
891 ± 1	4100	TOAFF	81	HBC	– 6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.8 ± 1.6		AJINENKO	80	HBC	+ 32 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
890.7 ± 0.9	1800	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
886.6 ± 2.4	1225	BALAND	78	HBC	± 12 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
891.7 ± 0.6	6706	COOPER	78	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
891.9 ± 0.7	9000	<sup>1</sup> PALER	75	HBC	– 14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- X$
892.2 ± 1.5	4404	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	– 3.9, 4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- p$
891 ± 2	1000	CRENNELL	69D	DBC	– 3.9 $K^- N \rightarrow K^0 \pi^- X$
890 ± 3.0	720	BARLOW	67	HBC	± 1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K^0 \pi)^\pm K^\mp$
889 ± 3.0	600	BARLOW	67	HBC	± 1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K^0 \pi)^\pm K\pi$
891 ± 2.3	620	<sup>2</sup> DEBAERE	67B	HBC	+ 3.5 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
891.0 ± 1.2	1700	<sup>3</sup> WOJCICKI	64	HBC	– 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

893.6 ± 0.1 $^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	± $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
895.6 ± 0.8	4k	<sup>4</sup> LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
893.2 ± 0.1 ± 1.0	190k	<sup>5</sup> AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
893.5 ± 1.1	27k	<sup>6</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR	± 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
890.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.5	80k	<sup>7</sup> BIRD	89	LASS	– 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

890.0 ±2.3	800	<sup>2,3</sup> CLELAND	82	SPEC +	30	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
896.0 ±1.1	3200	<sup>2,3</sup> CLELAND	82	SPEC +	50	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
893 ±1	3600	<sup>2,3</sup> CLELAND	82	SPEC -	50	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
896.0 ±1.9	380	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC +	50	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
886.0 ±2.3	187	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC -	50	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
894.2 ±2.0	765	<sup>2</sup> CLARK	73	HBC -	3.13	$K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
894.3 ±1.5	1150	<sup>2,3</sup> CLARK	73	HBC -	3.3	$K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
892.0 ±2.6	341	<sup>2</sup> SCHWEING... <sup>68</sup>	HBC	-	5.5	$K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.

<sup>2</sup> Mass errors enlarged by us to  $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ . See note.

<sup>3</sup> Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

<sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral  $K^*$  (892) masses and widths floating.

<sup>5</sup> Average of fit results with different parametrizations for the  $K\pi$   $S$ -wave.

<sup>6</sup>  $K$ -matrix pole.

<sup>7</sup> From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

### CHARGED ONLY, PRODUCED IN $\tau$ LEPTON DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>895.47 ± 0.20 ± 0.74</b>	53k	<sup>1</sup> EPIFANOV 07	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
892.0 ± 0.5		<sup>2</sup> BOITO 10	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
892.0 ± 0.9		<sup>3,4</sup> BOITO 09	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
895.3 ± 0.2		<sup>4,5</sup> JAMIN 08	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
896.4 ± 0.9	12k	<sup>6</sup> BONVICINI 02	CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
895 ± 2		<sup>7</sup> BARATE 99R	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit in the  $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$  model.

<sup>2</sup> From the pole position of the  $K\pi$  vector form factor using EPIFANOV 07 and constraints from  $K_{J3}$  decays in ANTONELLI 10.

<sup>3</sup> From the pole position of the  $K\pi$  vector form factor in the complex  $s$ -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.

<sup>4</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>5</sup> Reanalysis of EPIFANOV 07 using resonance chiral theory.

<sup>6</sup> Calculated by us from the shift by  $4.7 \pm 0.9$  MeV (statistical uncertainty only) reported in BONVICINI 02 with respect to the world average value from PDG 00.

<sup>7</sup> With mass and width of the  $K^*(1410)$  fixed at 1412 MeV and 227 MeV, respectively.

### NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>895.55 ± 0.20 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
894.68 ± 0.25 ± 0.05		<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
895.4 ± 0.2 ± 0.2	243k	<sup>2</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..11I	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
895.7 ± 0.2 ± 0.3	141k	<sup>3</sup> BONVICINI 08A	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
895.41 ± 0.32 <sup>+0.35</sup> / <sub>-0.43</sub>	18k	<sup>4</sup> LINK 05I	FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
896 ± 2		BARBERIS 98E	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f p_s K^* \bar{K}^*$
895.9 ± 0.5 ± 0.2		ASTON 88	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
894.52 ± 0.63	25k	<sup>5</sup> ATKINSON 86	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p$
894.63 ± 0.76	20k	<sup>5</sup> ATKINSON 86	OMEG	20-70 $\gamma p$
897 ± 1	28k	EVANGELIS... 80	OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$

898.4 ±1.4	1180	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	0.76	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^{\mp} K_S^0 \pi^{\pm}$
894.9 ±1.6		WICKLUND	78	ASPK	3,4,6	$K^{\pm} N \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 N$
897.6 ±0.9		BOWLER	77	DBC	5.4	$K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p p$
895.5 ±1.0	3600	MCCUBBIN	75	HBC	3.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
897.1 ±0.7	22k	<sup>5</sup> PALER	75	HBC	14.3	$K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 X$
896.0 ±0.6	10k	FOX	74	RVUE	2	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
896.0 ±0.6		FOX	74	RVUE	2	$K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
896 ±2		<sup>6</sup> MATISON	74	HBC	12	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \Delta$
896 ±1	3186	LEWIS	73	HBC	2.1-2.7	$K^+ p \rightarrow K \pi \pi p$
894.0 ±1.3		<sup>6</sup> LINGLIN	73	HBC	2-13	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
898.4 ±1.3	1700	<sup>7</sup> BUCHNER	72	DBC	4.6	$K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
897.9 ±1.1	2934	<sup>7</sup> AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
898.0 ±0.7	5362	<sup>7</sup> AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
895 ±1	4300	<sup>8</sup> HABER	70	DBC	3	$K^- N \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$
893.7 ±2.0	10k	DAVIS	69	HBC	12	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
894.7 ±1.4	1040	<sup>7</sup> DAUBER	67B	HBC	2.0	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
895.50 ±0.92 ±2.6		<sup>9</sup> ADUSZKIEW...	20A	NA61	158	$pp$
898.1 ±1.0	4k	<sup>10</sup> LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	
895.53 ±0.17		LEES	13F	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$	
894.9 ±0.5 ±0.7	14.4k	<sup>11</sup> MITCHELL	09A	CLEO	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$	
896.2 ±0.3	20k	<sup>12</sup> AUBERT	07AK	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \gamma$	
900.7 ±1.1	5900	BARTH	83	HBC	70	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$

<sup>1</sup> Taking also into account the  $K_0^{*}(1430)^0$  and  $K_2^{*}(1430)^0$ .

<sup>2</sup> Taking into account the  $K^{*}(892)^0$ , *S*-wave and *P*-wave ( $K^{*}(1410)^0$ ).

<sup>3</sup> From the isobar model with a complex pole for the  $\kappa$ .

<sup>4</sup> Fit to  $K\pi$  mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.

<sup>5</sup> Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.

<sup>6</sup> From pole extrapolation.

<sup>7</sup> Mass errors enlarged by us to  $\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ . See note.


<sup>8</sup> Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

<sup>9</sup> For transverse momenta between 0.6 and 0.8 GeV/c and rapidity  $0 < y < 0.5$ .

<sup>10</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral  $K^{*}(892)$  masses and widths floating.

<sup>11</sup> This value comes from a fit with  $\chi^2$  of 178/117.

<sup>12</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.



## $K^*(892)$ MASSES AND MASS DIFFERENCES

Unrealistically small errors have been reported by some experiments. We use simple “realistic” tests for the minimum errors on the determination of a mass and width from a sample of  $N$  events:

$$\delta_{\min}(m) = \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \delta_{\min}(\Gamma) = 4 \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad (1)$$

We consistently increase unrealistic errors before averaging. For a detailed discussion, see the 1971 edition of this Note.

### $m_{K^*(892)^0} - m_{K^*(892)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>6.7±1.2 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
7.7±1.7	2980	AGUILAR-...	78B HBC	±0	0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
5.7±1.7	7338	AGUILAR-...	71B HBC	-0	3.9,4.6 $K^- p$
6.3±4.1	283	<sup>1</sup> BARASH	67B HBC		0.0 $\bar{p}p$

<sup>1</sup> Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

### $K^*(892)$ RANGE PARAMETER

All from partial wave amplitude analyses.

VALUE (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
2.1 ±0.5 ±0.5	243k	<sup>1</sup> DEL-AMO-SA.11i	BABR	0	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
3.96±0.54 <sup>+1.31</sup> <sub>-0.90</sub>	18k	<sup>2</sup> LINK	05i	FOCS	0 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
3.4 ±0.7		ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
•••					We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••
12.1 ±3.2 ±3.0		BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Taking into account the  $K^*(892)^0$ , S-wave and P-wave ( $K^*(1410)^0$ ).

<sup>2</sup> Fit to  $K\pi$  mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.

### $K^*(892)$ WIDTH

#### CHARGED ONLY, HADROPRODUCED

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>51.4±0.8 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>51.4±0.8 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
54.4±0.9±1.7		ALBRECHT	20	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
49 ±2	5840	BAUBILLIER	84B	HBC	- 8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
56 ±4		NAPIER	84	SPEC	- 200 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2K_S^0 X$
51 ±2	4100	TOAFF	81	HBC	- 6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
50.5±5.6		AJINENKO	80	HBC	+ 32 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
45.8±3.6	1800	AGUILAR-...	78B	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
52.0±2.5	6706	<sup>1</sup> COOPER	78	HBC	± 0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow (K\pi)^\pm X$
52.1±2.2	9000	<sup>2</sup> PALER	75	HBC	- 14.3 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- X$
46.3±6.7	765	<sup>1</sup> CLARK	73	HBC	- 3.13 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
48.2±5.7	1150	<sup>1,3</sup> CLARK	73	HBC	- 3.3 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
54.3±3.3	4404	<sup>1</sup> AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	- 3.9,4.6 $K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^- p$
46 ±5	1700	<sup>1,3</sup> WOJCICKI	64	HBC	- 1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
•••					We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••
46.7±0.2 <sup>+0.1</sup> <sub>-0.2</sub>	183k	ABLIKIM	19AQ	BES	± $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
43.6±1.3	4k	<sup>4</sup> LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
47.2±0.3±2.3	190k	<sup>5</sup> AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
54.8±1.7	27k	<sup>6</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR	± 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
45.2±1 ±2	80k	<sup>7</sup> BIRD	89	LASS	- 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

42.8±7.1	3700	BARTH	83	HBC	+	70	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ X$
64.0±9.2	800	1,3 CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	30	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
62.0±4.4	3200	1,3 CLELAND	82	SPEC	+	50	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ p$
55 ±4	3600	1,3 CLELAND	82	SPEC	-	50	$K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- p$
62.6±3.8	380	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC	+	50	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$
50.5±3.9	187	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC	-	50	$K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^0 p$

<sup>1</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4 \times \Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see note.

<sup>2</sup> Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.

<sup>3</sup> Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.

<sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral  $K^*$ (892) masses and widths floating.

<sup>5</sup> Average of fit results with different parametrizations for the  $K\pi$   $S$ -wave.

<sup>6</sup>  $K$ -matrix pole.

<sup>7</sup> From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

## CHARGED ONLY, PRODUCED IN $\tau$ LEPTON DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>46.2±0.6±1.2</b>	53k	<sup>1</sup> EPIFANOV 07	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
46.5±1.1		<sup>2</sup> BOITO 10	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
46.2±0.4		<sup>3,4</sup> BOITO 09	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
47.5±0.4		<sup>4,5</sup> JAMIN 08	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \nu_\tau$
55 ±8		<sup>6</sup> BARATE 99R	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit in the  $K_0^*(700) + K^*(892) + K^*(1410)$  model.

<sup>2</sup> From the pole position of the  $K\pi$  vector form factor using EPIFANOV 07 and constraints from  $K_{J3}$  decays in ANTONELLI 10.

<sup>3</sup> From the pole position of the  $K\pi$  vector form factor in the complex  $s$ -plane and using EPIFANOV 07 data.

<sup>4</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>5</sup> Reanalysis of EPIFANOV 07 using resonance chiral theory.

<sup>6</sup> With mass and width of the  $K^*(1410)$  fixed at 1412 MeV and 227 MeV, respectively.

## NEUTRAL ONLY

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>47.3 ±0.5 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
<b>47.3 ±0.5 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.0. See the ideogram below.			
46.53±0.56±0.31		<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 16F	BES3	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
46.5 ±0.3 ±0.2	243k	<sup>2</sup> DEL-AMO-SA..11I	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$
45.3 ±0.5 ±0.6	141k	<sup>3</sup> BONVICINI 08A	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
47.79±0.86 <sup>+1.32</sup> <sub>-1.06</sub>	18k	<sup>4</sup> LINK 05I	FOCS	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu$
54 ±3		BARBERIS 98E	OMEG 450	$pp \rightarrow p_f p_s K^* \bar{K}^*$
50.8 ±0.8 ±0.9		ASTON 88	LASS 11	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
46.5 ±4.3	5900	BARTH 83	HBC 70	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- X$
54 ±2	28k	EVANGELIS... 80	OMEG 10	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- (\Lambda, \Sigma)$
45.9 ±4.8	1180	AGUILAR-... 78B	HBC 0.76	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\mp K_S^0 \pi^\pm$
51.2 ±1.7		WICKLUND 78	ASPK 3,4,6	$K^\pm N \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 N$
48.9 ±2.5		BOWLER 77	DBC 5.4	$K^+ d \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- pp$

48	$\begin{smallmatrix} +3 \\ -2 \end{smallmatrix}$	3600	MCCUBBIN	75	HBC	3.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
50.6	$\pm 2.5$	22k	<sup>5</sup> PALER	75	HBC	14.3	$K^- p \rightarrow (K\pi)^0 X$
47	$\pm 2$	10k	FOX	74	RVUE	2	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
51	$\pm 2$		FOX	74	RVUE	2	$K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
46.0	$\pm 3.3$	3186	<sup>6</sup> LEWIS	73	HBC	2.1–2.7	$K^+ p \rightarrow K\pi\pi p$
51.4	$\pm 5.0$	1700	<sup>6</sup> BUCHNER	72	DBC	4.6	$K^+ n \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- p$
55.8	$\begin{smallmatrix} +4.2 \\ -3.4 \end{smallmatrix}$	2934	<sup>6</sup> AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
48.5	$\pm 2.7$	5362	AGUILAR-...	71B	HBC	3.9,4.6	$K^- p \rightarrow$ $K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
54.0	$\pm 3.3$	4300	<sup>6,7</sup> HABER	70	DBC	3	$K^- N \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ X$
53.2	$\pm 2.1$	10k	<sup>6</sup> DAVIS	69	HBC	12	$K^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ p$
44	$\pm 5.5$	1040	<sup>6</sup> DAUBER	67B	HBC	2.0	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

48.8	$\pm 1.8 \pm 2.0$		<sup>8</sup> ADUSZKIEW...20A	NA61	158	$pp$
52.6	$\pm 1.7$	4k	<sup>9</sup> LEES	17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
44.90	$\pm 0.30$		LEES	13F	BABR	$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
45.7	$\pm 1.1 \pm 0.5$	14.4k	<sup>10</sup> MITCHELL	09A	CLEO	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$
50.6	$\pm 0.9$	20k	<sup>11</sup> AUBERT	07AK	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $K^{*0} K^\pm \pi^\mp \gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Taking also into account the  $K_0^*(1430)^0$  and  $K_2^*(1430)^0$ .

<sup>2</sup> Taking into account the  $K^*(892)^0$ ,  $S$ -wave and  $P$ -wave ( $K^*(1410)^0$ ).

<sup>3</sup> From the isobar model with a complex pole for the  $\kappa$ .

<sup>4</sup> Fit to  $K\pi$  mass spectrum includes a non-resonant scalar component.

<sup>5</sup> Inclusive reaction. Complicated background and phase-space effects.

<sup>6</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4 \times \Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see note.

<sup>7</sup> Number of events in peak reevaluated by us.


<sup>8</sup> For transverse momenta between 0.6 and 0.8 GeV/c and rapidity  $0 < y < 0.5$ .

<sup>9</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with charged and neutral  $K^*(892)$  masses and widths floating.

<sup>10</sup> This value comes from a fit with  $\chi^2$  of 178/117.

<sup>11</sup> Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
 $47.3 \pm 0.5$  (Error scaled by 2.0)



### $K^*(892)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $K\pi$	$\sim 100$	%
$\Gamma_2$ $(K\pi)^\pm$	$(99.902 \pm 0.009)$	%
$\Gamma_3$ $(K\pi)^0$	$(99.754 \pm 0.021)$	%
$\Gamma_4$ $K^0\gamma$	$(2.46 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_5$ $K^\pm\gamma$	$(9.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_6$ $K\pi\pi$	$< 7$	$\times 10^{-4}$ 95%



### CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 14 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 10.7$  for 12 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x_5 \\
 \Gamma
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{|c}
 -100 \\
 \hline
 17 \quad -17 \\
 \hline
 x_2 \quad x_5
 \end{array}$$

	Mode	Rate (MeV)
$\Gamma_2$	$(K\pi)^\pm$	$51.4 \pm 0.8$
$\Gamma_5$	$K^\pm \gamma$	$0.050 \pm 0.005$

### CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width and a partial width uses 23 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 68.4$  for 21 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 x_4 \\
 \Gamma
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{|c}
 -100 \\
 \hline
 12 \quad -12 \\
 \hline
 x_3 \quad x_4
 \end{array}$$

	Mode	Rate (MeV)	Scale factor
$\Gamma_3$	$(K\pi)^0$	$47.2 \pm 0.5$	1.9
$\Gamma_4$	$K^0 \gamma$	$0.117 \pm 0.010$	

### $K^*(892)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(K^0 \gamma)$						$\Gamma_4$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
<b>116 ± 10</b>	<b>OUR FIT</b>					
<b>116.5 ± 9.9</b>	584	CARLSMITH	86	SPEC	0	$K_L^0 A \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0 A$

$\Gamma(K^\pm \gamma)$					$\Gamma_5$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
<b>50 ± 5 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>50 ± 5 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
48 ± 11	BERG	83	SPEC -	156 $K^- A \rightarrow \bar{K} \pi A$	
51 ± 5	CHANDLEE	83	SPEC +	200 $K^+ A \rightarrow K \pi A$	

 **$K^*(892)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(K^0 \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
<b>2.46 ± 0.21 OUR FIT</b>					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1.5 ± 0.7	CARITHERS	75B	CNTR 0	8–16 $\bar{K}^0 A$	

$\Gamma(K^\pm \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>0.98 ± 0.09 OUR FIT</b>					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.6	95	BEMPORAD	73	CNTR +	10–16 $K^+ A$

$\Gamma(K \pi \pi)/\Gamma((K \pi)^\pm)$					$\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 7 × 10<sup>-4</sup></b>	95	JONGEJANS	78	HBC	4 $K^- p \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0 2\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 20 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>		WOJCICKI	64	HBC -	1.7 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$

 **$K^*(892)$  REFERENCES**

ADUSZKIEW... 20A	EPJ C80 460	A. Aduszkiewicz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA61 Collab.)
ALBRECHT 20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
PELAEZ 20	PRL 124 172001	J.R. Pelaez <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM 19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES 17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PELAEZ 17	EPJ C77 91	J.R. Pelaez, A.Rodas, J.R. de Elvira	
AAIJ 16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM 16F	PR D94 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES 13F	PR D87 052010	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA... 11I	PR D83 072001	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANTONELLI 10	EPJ C69 399	M. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FlaviaNet Working Group)
BOITO 10	JHEP 1009 031	D.R. Boito, R. Escribano, M. Jamin	(BARC)
BOITO 09	EPJ C59 821	D.R. Boito, R. Escribano, M. Jamin	
MITCHELL 09A	PR D79 072008	R.E. Mitchell <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI 08A	PR D78 052001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JAMIN 08	PL B664 78	M. Jamin, A. Pich, J. Portoles	
AUBERT 07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
EPIFANOV 07	PL B654 65	D. Epifanov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LINK 05I	PL B621 72	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PELAEZ 04A	MPL A19 2879	J.R. Pelaez	(MADU)
BONVICINI 02	PRL 88 111803	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG 00	EPJ C15 1	D.E. Groom <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABELE 99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BARATE 99R	EPJ C11 599	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARBERIS 98E	PL B436 204	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
BIRD 89	SLAC-332	P.F. Bird	(SLAC)
ASTON 88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)

ATKINSON	86	ZPHY C30 521	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
CARLSMITH	86	PRL 56 18	D. Carlsmith <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, SACL)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
NAPIER	84	PL 149B 514	A. Napier <i>et al.</i>	(TUFTS, ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR+)
BARTH	83	NP B223 296	M. Barth <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, GENO, MONS+)
BERG	83	Thesis UMI 83-21652	D.M. Berg	(ROCH)
CHANDLEE	83	PRL 51 168	C. Chandlee <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, FNAL, MINN)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
DELFOSSÉ	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, LAUS)
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	S. Toaff <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, KANS)
AJINENKO	80	ZPHY C5 177	I.V. Ajinenko <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX, MONS+)
EVANGELIS...	80	NP B165 383	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
AGUILAR-...	78B	NP B141 101	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(MADR, TATA+)
BALAND	78	NP B140 220	J.F. Baland <i>et al.</i>	(MONS, BELG, CERN+)
COOPER	78	NP B136 365	A.M. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(TATA, CERN, CDEF+)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
Also		PR D17 658	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
JONGEJANS	78	NP B139 383	B. Jongejans <i>et al.</i>	(ZEEM, CERN, NIJM+)
WICKLUND	78	PR D17 1197	A.B. Wicklund <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
BOWLER	77	NP B126 31	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXF)
CARITHERS	75B	PRL 35 349	W.C.J. Carithers <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MCGI)
MCCUBBIN	75	NP B86 13	N.A. McCubbin, L. Lyons	(OXF)
PALER	75	NP B96 1	K. Paler <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, SACL, EPOL)
FOX	74	NP B80 403	G.C. Fox, M.L. Griss	(CIT)
MATISON	74	PR D9 1872	M.J. Matison <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
BEMPORAD	73	NP B51 1	C. Bemporad <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ETH, LOIC)
CLARK	73	NP B54 432	A.G. Clark, L. Lyons, D. Radojicic	(OXF)
LEWIS	73	NP B60 283	P.H. Lewis <i>et al.</i>	(LOWC, LOIC, CDEF)
LINGLIN	73	NP B55 408	D. Linglin	(CERN)
BUCHNER	72	NP B45 333	K. Buchner <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, BRUX)
AGUILAR-...	71B	PR D4 2583	M. Aguilar-Benitez, R.L. Eisner, J.B. Kinson	(BNL)
HABER	70	NP B17 289	B. Haber <i>et al.</i>	(REHO, SACL, BGNA, EPOL)
CRENNELL	69D	PRL 22 487	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
DAVIS	69	PRL 23 1071	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
SCHWEING...	68	PR 166 1317	F. Schweingruber <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, NWES)
BARASH	67B	PR 156 1399	N. Barash <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
BARLOW	67	NC 50A 701	J. Barlow <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD, LIVP)
DAUBER	67B	PR 153 1403	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
DEBAERE	67B	NC 51A 401	W. de Baere <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN)
WOJCICKI	64	PR 135 B484	S.G. Wojcicki	(LRL)