

$$I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

Σ^- MASS

The fit uses Σ^+ , Σ^0 , Σ^- , and Λ mass and mass-difference measurements.

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|------|--------------------|------|--|
| 1197.449±0.030 OUR FIT | | | | Error includes scale factor of 1.2. |
| 1197.45 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE | | | | Error includes scale factor of 1.2. |
| 1197.417±0.040 | | GUREV | 93 | SPEC Σ^- C atom, crystal diff. |
| 1197.532±0.057 | | GALL | 88 | CNTR Σ^- Pb, Σ^- W atoms |
| 1197.43 ±0.08 | 3000 | SCHMIDT | 65 | HBC See note with Λ mass |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 1197.24 ±0.15 | | ¹ DUGAN | 75 | CNTR Exotic atoms |
| ¹ GALL 88 concludes that the DUGAN 75 mass needs to be reevaluated. | | | | |

$m_{\Sigma^-} - m_{\Sigma^+}$

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| 8.08±0.08 OUR FIT | | | | Error includes scale factor of 1.9. |
| 8.09±0.16 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 7.91±0.23 | 86 | BOHM | 72 | EMUL |
| 8.25±0.25 | 2500 | DOSCH | 65 | HBC |
| 8.25±0.40 | 87 | BARKAS | 63 | EMUL |

$m_{\Sigma^-} - m_{\Lambda}$

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| 81.766±0.030 OUR FIT | | | | Error includes scale factor of 1.2. |
| 81.69 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 81.64 ±0.09 | 2279 | HEPP | 68 | HBC |
| 81.80 ±0.13 | 85 | SCHMIDT | 65 | HBC See note with Λ mass |
| 81.70 ±0.19 | | BURNSTEIN | 64 | HBC |


Σ^- MEAN LIFE

Measurements with an error $\geq 0.2 \times 10^{-10}$ s have been omitted.

| VALUE (10^{-10} s) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--|------|-------------|------|---|
| 1.479±0.011 OUR AVERAGE | | | | Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below. |
| 1.480±0.014 | 16k | MARRAFFINO | 80 | HBC $K^- p$ 0.42–0.5 GeV/c |
| 1.49 ±0.03 | 8437 | CONFORTO | 76 | HBC $K^- p$ 1–1.4 GeV/c |
| 1.463±0.039 | 2400 | ROBERTSON | 72 | HBC $K^- p$ 0.25 GeV/c |
| 1.42 ±0.05 | 1383 | BAKKER | 71 | DBC $K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi \pi$ |
| 1.41 ^{+0.09} _{-0.08} | | TOVEE | 71 | EMUL |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|------|--------------------|----|-----|-----------------------|
| 1.485 ± 0.022 | 100k | EISELE | 70 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 1.472 ± 0.016 | 10k | BARLOUTAUD | 69 | HBC | $K^- p$ 0.4–1.2 GeV/c |
| 1.38 ± 0.07 | 506 | WHITESIDE | 68 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 1.666 ± 0.075 | 3267 | ¹ CHANG | 66 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 1.58 ± 0.06 | 1208 | HUMPHREY | 62 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |


¹We have increased the CHANG 66 error of 0.026; see our 1970 edition, Reviews of Modern Physics **42** 87 (1970).



Σ^- MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the "Quark Model" review. Measurements with an error $\geq 0.3 \mu_N$ have been omitted.

| VALUE (μ_N) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|---|------|--|
| -1.160 ± 0.025 OUR AVERAGE | | Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below. | | |
| $-1.105 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.010$ | | HERTZOG | 88 | CNTR $\Sigma^- \text{Pb}, \Sigma^- \text{W}$ atoms |
| $-1.166 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.010$ | 671k | ZAPALAC | 86 | SPEC $n e^- \nu, n \pi^-$ decays |
| $-1.23 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$ | | WAH | 85 | CNTR $p \text{Cu} \rightarrow \Sigma^- X$ |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| -0.89 ± 0.14 | 516k | DECK | 83 | SPEC $p \text{Be} \rightarrow \Sigma^- X$ |



Σ^- CHARGE RADIUS

| VALUE (fm) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| $0.780 \pm 0.080 \pm 0.060$ | ¹ ESCHRICH | 01 | $\Sigma^- e \rightarrow \Sigma^- e$ |

¹ESCHRICH 01 actually gives $\langle r^2 \rangle = (0.61 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.09) \text{ fm}^2$.

Σ^- DECAY MODES

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) | Confidence level |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Γ_1 $n\pi^-$ | $(99.848 \pm 0.005) \%$ | |
| Γ_2 $n\pi^- \gamma$ | [a] $(4.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ | |
| Γ_3 $ne^- \bar{\nu}_e$ | $(1.017 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-3}$ | |
| Γ_4 $n\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ | $(4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ | |
| Γ_5 $\Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ | $(5.73 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-5}$ | |
| Γ_6 $\Sigma^+ X$ | $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | 90% |

Lepton number (L) violating modes

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|
| Γ_7 $pe^- e^-$ | L | $< 6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | 90% |
|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|

[a] See the Listings below for the pion momentum range used in this measurement.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 16 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 8.7$ for 13 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| x_3 | -64 | | |
| x_4 | -77 | 0 | |
| x_5 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| | x_1 | x_3 | x_4 |

Σ^- BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(n\pi^- \gamma) / \Gamma(n\pi^-)$

 Γ_2 / Γ_1

The π^+ momentum cuts differ, so we do not average the results but simply use the latest value for the Summary Table.

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|---------|---------------------|
| 0.46 ± 0.06 | 292 | EBENHOH | 73 HBC | $\pi^+ < 150$ MeV/c |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 0.10 ± 0.02 | 23 | ANG | 69B HBC | $\pi^- < 110$ MeV/c |
| ~ 1.1 | | BAZIN | 65B HBC | $\pi^- < 166$ MeV/c |

$\Gamma(ne^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \Gamma(n\pi^-)$

 Γ_3 / Γ_1

Measurements with an error $\geq 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$ have been omitted.

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------------------|----------|------------------|
| 1.019 ± 0.035 OUR FIT | | | | |
| $1.019^{+0.031}_{-0.040}$ OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 0.96 ± 0.05 | 2847 | BOURQUIN | 83C SPEC | SPS hyperon beam |
| $1.09^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$ | 601 | ¹ EBENHOH | 74 HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| $1.05^{+0.07}_{-0.13}$ | 455 | ¹ SECHI-ZORN | 73 HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.97 ± 0.15 | 57 | COLE | 71 HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 1.11 ± 0.09 | 180 | BIERMAN | 68 HBC | |

¹ An additional negative systematic error is included for internal radiative corrections and latest form factors; see BOURQUIN 83C.

$\Gamma(n\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma(n\pi^-)$

 Γ_4 / Γ_1

| VALUE (units 10^{-3}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| 0.45 ± 0.04 OUR FIT | | | | |
| 0.45 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 0.38 ± 0.11 | 13 | COLE | 71 HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.43 ± 0.06 | 72 | ANG | 69 HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|----|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|
| 0.43 ± 0.09 | 56 | BAGGETT | 69 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.56 ± 0.20 | 11 | BAZIN | 65B | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.66 ± 0.15 | 22 | COURANT | 64 | HBC | |

$\Gamma(\Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \Gamma(n\pi^-)$

Γ_5 / Γ_1

| <u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| 0.574 ± 0.027 OUR FIT | | | | | |
| 0.574 ± 0.027 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 0.561 ± 0.031 | 1620 | ¹ BOURQUIN | 82 | SPEC | SPS hyperon beam |
| 0.63 ± 0.11 | 114 | THOMPSON | 80 | ASPK | Hyperon beam |
| 0.52 ± 0.09 | 31 | BALTAY | 69 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.69 ± 0.12 | 31 | EISELE | 69 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.64 ± 0.12 | 35 | BARASH | 67 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |
| 0.75 ± 0.28 | 11 | COURANT | 64 | HBC | $K^- p$ at rest |

¹The value is from BOURQUIN 83B, and includes radiation corrections and new acceptance.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ X) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6 / Γ

Here mode X can be any particle combination.

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| $< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | 90 | ABLIKIM | 21F | BES | 1,311 M J/ψ decays |

———— Lepton number (L) violating modes ————

$\Gamma(p e^- e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_7 / Γ

This decay violates lepton number conservation with $\Delta Q = \Delta L = 2$.

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
|---|------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| $< 6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | 90 | ABLIKIM | 21F | BES | 1,311 M J/ψ decays |

Σ^- DECAY PARAMETERS

See the "Note on Baryon Decay Parameters" in the neutron Listings.
Older, outdated results have been omitted.

α_- FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n\pi^-$

| <u>VALUE</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
|--|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| -0.068 ± 0.008 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| -0.062 ± 0.024 | 28k | HANSL | 78 | HBC | $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$ |
| -0.067 ± 0.011 | 60k | BOGERT | 70 | HBC | $K^- p$ 0.4 GeV/c |
| -0.071 ± 0.012 | 51k | BANGERTER | 69 | HBC | $K^- p$ 0.4 GeV/c |

ϕ ANGLE FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n\pi^-$

$(\tan\phi = \beta / \gamma)$

| <u>VALUE ($^\circ$)</u> | <u>EVTS</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u> | |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 10 ± 15 OUR AVERAGE | | | | | |
| $+ 5 \pm 23$ | 1092 | ¹ BERLEY | 70B | HBC | n rescattering |
| 14 ± 19 | 1385 | BANGERTER | 69B | HBC | $K^- p$ 0.4 GeV/c |

¹BERLEY 70B changed from -5 to $+5^\circ$ to agree with our sign convention.

g_A/g_V FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow ne^-\bar{\nu}_e$

Measurements with fewer than 500 events have been omitted. Where necessary, signs have been changed to agree with our conventions, which are given in the “Note on Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings. What is actually listed is $|g_1/f_1 - 0.237g_2/f_1|$. This reduces to $g_A/g_V \equiv g_1(0)/f_1(0)$ on making the usual assumption that $g_2 = 0$. See also the note on HSUEH 88.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| 0.340±0.017 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| +0.327±0.007±0.019 | 50k | ¹ HSUEH | 88 | SPEC Σ^- 250 GeV |
| +0.34 ±0.05 | 4456 | ² BOURQUIN | 83C | SPEC SPS hyperon beam |
| 0.385±0.037 | 3507 | ³ TANENBAUM | 74 | ASPK |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |
| 0.29 ±0.07 | 25k | HSUEH | 85 | SPEC See HSUEH 88 |
| 0.17 ^{+0.07} _{-0.09} | 519 | DECAMP | 77 | ELEC Hyperon beam |

¹ The sign is, *with our conventions*, unambiguously positive. The value assumes, as usual, that $g_2 = 0$. If g_2 is included in the fit, than (with our sign convention) $g_2 = -0.56 \pm 0.37$, with a corresponding reduction of g_A/g_V to $+0.20 \pm 0.08$.

² BOURQUIN 83C favors the positive sign by at least 2.6 standard deviations.

³ TANENBAUM 74 gives 0.435 ± 0.035 , assuming no q^2 dependence in g_A and g_V . The listed result allows q^2 dependence, and is taken from HSUEH 88.

$f_2(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow ne^-\bar{\nu}_e$

The signs have been changed to be in accord with our conventions, given in the “Note on Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-------------------------|
| 0.97±0.14 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| +0.96±0.07±0.13 | 50k | HSUEH | 88 | SPEC Σ^- 250 GeV |
| +1.02±0.34 | 4456 | BOURQUIN | 83C | SPEC SPS hyperon beam |

TRIPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENT D for $\Sigma^- \rightarrow ne^-\bar{\nu}_e$

The coefficient D of the term $D \mathbf{P} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{p}}_e \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{\nu})$ in the $\Sigma^- \rightarrow ne^-\bar{\nu}$ decay angular distribution. A nonzero value would indicate a violation of time-reversal invariance.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|------------------|------|-------------|------|-------------------------|
| 0.11±0.10 | 50k | HSUEH | 88 | SPEC Σ^- 250 GeV |


g_V/g_A FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow \Lambda e^-\bar{\nu}_e$

For the sign convention, see the “Note on Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings. The value is predicted to be zero by conserved vector current theory. The values averaged assume CVC-SU(3) weak magnetism term.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 0.01 ±0.10 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below. | | | | |
| -0.034±0.080 | 1620 | ¹ BOURQUIN | 82 | SPEC SPS hyperon beam |
| -0.29 ±0.29 | 114 | THOMPSON | 80 | ASPK BNL hyperon beam |
| -0.17 ±0.35 | 55 | TANENBAUM | 75B | SPEC BNL hyperon beam |
| +0.45 ±0.20 | 186 | ^{1,2} FRANZINI | 72 | HBC |

¹ The sign has been changed to agree with our convention.

² The FRANZINI 72 value includes the events of earlier papers.



g_{WM}/g_A FOR $\Sigma^- \rightarrow \Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

The values quoted assume the CVC prediction $g_V = 0$.

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|---------------|------|------------------|
| 2.4 ± 1.7 OUR AVERAGE | | | | |
| 1.75 ± 3.5 | 114 | THOMPSON 80 | ASPK | BNL hyperon beam |
| 3.5 ± 4.5 | 55 | TANENBAUM 75B | SPEC | BNL hyperon beam |
| 2.4 ± 2.1 | 186 | FRANZINI 72 | HBC | |

Σ^- REFERENCES

We have omitted some papers that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

| | | | | |
|------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| ABLIKIM | 21F | PR D103 052011 | M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> | (BESIII Collab.) |
| ESCHRICH | 01 | PL B522 233 | I. Eschrich <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL SELEX Collab.) |
| GUREV | 93 | JETPL 57 400 | M.P. Gurev <i>et al.</i> | (PNPI) |
| | | Translated from ZETFP 57 389. | | |
| GALL | 88 | PRL 60 186 | K.P. Gall <i>et al.</i> | (BOST, MIT, WILL, CIT+) |
| HERTZOG | 88 | PR D37 1142 | D.W. Hertzog <i>et al.</i> | (WILL, BOST, MIT+) |
| HSUEH | 88 | PR D38 2056 | S.Y. Hsueh <i>et al.</i> | (CHIC, ELMT, FNAL+) |
| ZAPALAC | 86 | PRL 57 1526 | G. Zapalac <i>et al.</i> | (EFI, ELMT, FNAL+) |
| HSUEH | 85 | PRL 54 2399 | S.Y. Hsueh <i>et al.</i> | (CHIC, ELMT, FNAL+) |
| WAH | 85 | PRL 55 2551 | Y.W. Wah <i>et al.</i> | (FNAL, IOWA, ISU) |
| BOURQUIN | 83B | ZPHY C21 27 | M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i> | (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) |
| BOURQUIN | 83C | ZPHY C21 17 | M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i> | (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) |
| DECK | 83 | PR D28 1 | L. Deck <i>et al.</i> | (RUTG, WISC, MICH, MINN) |
| BOURQUIN | 82 | ZPHY C12 307 | M.H. Bourquin <i>et al.</i> | (BRIS, GEVA, HEIDP+) |
| MARRAFFINO | 80 | PR D21 2501 | J. Marraffino <i>et al.</i> | (VAND, MPIM) |
| THOMPSON | 80 | PR D21 25 | J.A. Thompson <i>et al.</i> | (PITT, BNL) |
| HANSL | 78 | NP B132 45 | T. Hansl <i>et al.</i> | (MPIM, VAND) |
| DECAMP | 77 | PL 66B 295 | D. Decamp <i>et al.</i> | (LALO, EPOL) |
| CONFORTO | 76 | NP B105 189 | B. Conforto <i>et al.</i> | (RHEL, LOIC) |
| DUGAN | 75 | NP A254 396 | G. Dugan <i>et al.</i> | (COLU, YALE) |

| | | | | |
|------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| TANENBAUM | 75B | PR D12 1871 | W. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, FNAL, BNL) |
| EBENHOH | 74 | ZPHY 266 367 | H. Ebenhoh <i>et al.</i> | (HEIDT) |
| TANENBAUM | 74 | PRL 33 175 | W. Tanenbaum <i>et al.</i> | (YALE, FNAL, BNL) |
| EBENHOH | 73 | ZPHY 264 413 | W. Ebenhoh <i>et al.</i> | (HEIDT) |
| SECHI-ZORN | 73 | PR D8 12 | B. Sechi-Zorn, G.A. Snow | (UMD) |
| BOHM | 72 | NP B48 1 | G. Bohm <i>et al.</i> | (BERL, KIDR, BRUX, IASD+) |
| FRANZINI | 72 | PR D6 2417 | P. Franzini <i>et al.</i> | (COLU, HEID, UMD+) |
| ROBERTSON | 72 | Thesis UMI 78-00877 | R.M. Robertson | (IIT) |
| BAKKER | 71 | LNC 1 37 | A.M. Bakker <i>et al.</i> | (SABRE Collab.) |
| COLE | 71 | PR D4 631 | J. Cole <i>et al.</i> | (STON, COLU) |
| Also | | Thesis Nevis 175 | H. Norton | (COLU) |
| TOVEE | 71 | NP B33 493 | D.N. Tovee <i>et al.</i> | (LOUC, KIDR, BERL+) |
| BERLEY | 70B | PR D1 2015 | D. Berley <i>et al.</i> | (BNL, MASA, YALE) |
| BOGERT | 70 | PR D2 6 | D.V. Bogert <i>et al.</i> | (BNL, MASA, YALE) |
| EISELE | 70 | ZPHY 238 372 | F. Eisele <i>et al.</i> | (HEID) |
| PDG | 70 | RMP 42 87 | A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i> | (LRL, BRAN+) |
| ANG | 69 | ZPHY 223 103 | G. Ang <i>et al.</i> | (HEID) |
| ANG | 69B | ZPHY 228 151 | G. Ang <i>et al.</i> | (HEID) |
| BAGGETT | 69 | PRL 23 249 | N.V. Baggett, B. Kehoe, G.A. Snow | (UMD) |
| BALTAY | 69 | PRL 22 615 | C. Baltay <i>et al.</i> | (COLU, STON) |
| BANGERTER | 69 | Thesis UCRL 19244 | R.O. Bangerter | (LRL) |
| BANGERTER | 69B | PR 187 1821 | R.O. Bangerter <i>et al.</i> | (LRL) |
| BARLOUTAUD | 69 | NP B14 153 | R. Barloutaud <i>et al.</i> | (SACL, CERN, HEID) |
| EISELE | 69 | ZPHY 221 1 | F. Eisele <i>et al.</i> | (HEID) |
| BIERMAN | 68 | PRL 20 1459 | E. Bierman <i>et al.</i> | (PRIN) |
| HEPP | 68 | ZPHY 214 71 | V. Hepp, H. Schleich | (HEID) |
| WHITESIDE | 68 | NC 54A 537 | H. Whiteside, J. Gollub | (OBER) |
| BARASH | 67 | PRL 19 181 | N. Barash <i>et al.</i> | (UMD) |
| CHANG | 66 | PR 151 1081 | C.Y. Chang | (COLU) |
| BAZIN | 65B | PR 140 B1358 | M. Bazin <i>et al.</i> | (PRIN, RUTG, COLU) |
| DOSCH | 65 | PL 14 239 | H.C. Dosch <i>et al.</i> | (HEID) |
| Also | | PR 151 1081 | C.Y. Chang | (COLU) |
| SCHMIDT | 65 | PR 140 B1328 | P. Schmidt | (COLU) |
| BURNSTEIN | 64 | PRL 13 66 | R.A. Burnstein <i>et al.</i> | (UMD) |
| COURANT | 64 | PR 136 B1791 | H. Courant <i>et al.</i> | (CERN, HEID, UMD+) |
| BARKAS | 63 | PRL 11 26 | W.H. Barkas, J.N. Dyer, H.H. Heckman | (LRL) |
| HUMPHREY | 62 | PR 127 1305 | W.E. Humphrey, R.R. Ross | (LRL) |