

$N(1710) \ 1/2^+$  $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*\*

Older and obsolete values are listed and referenced in the 2014 edition, Chinese Physics **C38** 070001 (2014).

 **$N(1710)$  POLE POSITION****REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1680 to 1720 (<math>\approx</math> 1700) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1690 $\pm$ 15	ANISOVICH	17A	DPWA Multichannel
1697 $\pm$ 23	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	17A	L+P $\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$
1770 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 2	<sup>2</sup> SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690 $\pm$ 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1615	HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
1651	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
1690 $\pm$ 15	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
1690 $\pm$ 15	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel
1670	SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
1687 $\pm$ 17	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1711 $\pm$ 15	<sup>3</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1679	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1690	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1698	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error only.<sup>2</sup> Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.<sup>3</sup> BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.**–2×IMAGINARY PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>80 to 160 (<math>\approx</math> 120) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
155 $\pm$ 25	ANISOVICH	17A	DPWA Multichannel
84 $\pm$ 34	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	17A	L+P $\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$
98 $\pm$ 8 $\pm$ 5	<sup>2</sup> SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
80 $\pm$ 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
169	HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
121	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
170 $\pm$ 20	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
170 $\pm$ 20	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel
159	SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
200 $\pm$ 25	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
174 $\pm$ 16	<sup>3</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
132	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
200	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
88	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error only.

<sup>2</sup> Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.<sup>3</sup> BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

---

## $N(1710)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

### MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4 to 10 (<math>\approx 7</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
6 $\pm 3$	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
5 $\pm 1 \pm 1$	<sup>1</sup> SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8 $\pm 2$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
3.2	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
6 $\pm 3$	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel
11	SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
6 $\pm 4$	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
24	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
15	HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.<sup>2</sup> BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

### PHASE $\theta$

VALUE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>120 to 260 (<math>\approx 190</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
130 $\pm 35$	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
-104 $\pm 7 \pm 3$	<sup>1</sup> SVARC	14	L+P $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
175 $\pm 35$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
55	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
120 $\pm 45$	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel
9	SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
120 $\pm 70$	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
20	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
-167	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.<sup>2</sup> BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

---

## $N(1710)$ INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The "normalized residue" is the residue divided by  $\Gamma_{pole}/2$ .

### Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\eta$

MODULUS	PHASE ( $^\circ$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.12 $\pm 0.04$	0 $\pm 45$	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.16	-180	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.16 \pm 0.05$	$-160 \pm 25$	ANISOVICH	17A DPWA	Multichannel
$0.12^{+0.24}_{-0.12}$	$-119 \pm 83$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	17A L+P	$\gamma p, \pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12	-32	ROENCHEN	15A DPWA	Multichannel
$0.17 \pm 0.06$	$-110 \pm 20$	ANISOVICH	12A DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

**Normalized residue in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Sigma K$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.004	-43	ROENCHEN	15A DPWA	Multichannel

**Normalized residue in  $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N(1535)\pi$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.10 \pm 0.04$	$140 \pm 40$	GUTZ	14 DPWA	Multichannel

 **$N(1710)$  BREIT-WIGNER MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1680 to 1740 (<math>\approx 1710</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$1648 \pm 16$	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19 DPWA	Multichannel
$1715 \pm 20$	SOKHOYAN	15A DPWA	Multichannel
$1737 \pm 17$	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13 DPWA	Multichannel
$1700 \pm 50$	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$1723 \pm 9$	HOEHLER	79 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$1715 \pm 20$	GUTZ	14 DPWA	Multichannel
$1710 \pm 20$	ANISOVICH	12A DPWA	Multichannel
$1662 \pm 7$	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A DPWA	Multichannel
$1729 \pm 16$	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
$1752 \pm 3$	PENNER	02C DPWA	Multichannel
$1699 \pm 65$	VRANA	00 DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

<sup>2</sup>BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

 **$N(1710)$  BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>80 to 200 (<math>\approx 140</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$195 \pm 46$	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19 DPWA	Multichannel
$175 \pm 15$	SOKHOYAN	15A DPWA	Multichannel
$368 \pm 120$	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13 DPWA	Multichannel
$93 \pm 30$	CUTKOSKY	90 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$90 \pm 30$	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$120 \pm 15$	HOEHLER	79 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

175 ± 15	GUTZ	14	DPWA	Multichannel
200 ± 18	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
116 ± 17	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
180 ± 17	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
386 ± 59	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
143 ± 100	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

<sup>2</sup>BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

### N(1710) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	5–20 %
$\Gamma_2$ $N\eta$	10–50 %
$\Gamma_3$ $N\omega$	1–5 %
$\Gamma_4$ $\Lambda K$	5–25 %
$\Gamma_5$ $\Sigma K$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $N\pi\pi$	14–48 %
$\Gamma_7$ $\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave}$	3–9 %
$\Gamma_8$ $N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave}$	11–23 %
$\Gamma_9$ $N\sigma$	<16 %
$\Gamma_{10}$ $N(1535)\pi$	9–21 %
$\Gamma_{11}$ $p\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.002–0.08 %
$\Gamma_{12}$ $n\gamma, \text{helicity}=1/2$	0.0–0.02%

### N(1710) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
<b>5 to 20 (<math>\approx 10</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
12 ± 6	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA	Multichannel	
5 ± 3	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA	Multichannel	
2 ± 2	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13	PWA	Multichannel	
20 ± 4	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
12 ± 4	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5 ± 3	GUTZ	14	DPWA	Multichannel
5 ± 4	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
15 ± 4	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
22 ± 24	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
14 ± 8	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
27 ± 13	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

<sup>2</sup>BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here.

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>10 to 50 (<math>\approx 30</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
18 $\pm$ 10	MUELLER	20	DPWA Multichannel
17 $\pm$ 8	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
45 $\pm$ 4	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
17 $\pm$ 10	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
11 $\pm$ 7	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
6 $\pm$ 8	<sup>2</sup> BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
36 $\pm$ 11	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
6 $\pm$ 1	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.<sup>2</sup>BATINIC 10 finds evidence for a second  $P_{11}$  state with all parameters except for the phase of the pole residue very similar to the parameters we give here. $\Gamma(N\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1 to 5 (<math>\approx 3</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
2 $\pm$ 2	DENISENKO	16	DPWA Multichannel
3 $\pm$ 2	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
13 $\pm$ 2	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only. $\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>5 to 25 (<math>\approx 15</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1.8 $\pm$ 1.5	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
23 $\pm$ 7	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
5 $\pm$ 3	SHKLYAR	05	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
8 $\pm$ 4	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
5 $\pm$ 2	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
10 $\pm$ 10	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only. $\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
7 $\pm$ 7	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

 $\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3-9 % OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
28 $\pm$ 9	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
6 $\pm$ 3	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
39 $\pm$ 8	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>11-23 % OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
17±9	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
17±6	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
17±1	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only. $\Gamma(N\sigma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;16 % OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
<16	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only. $\Gamma(N(1535)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
15±6	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel

 **$N(1710)$  PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES AT THE POLE** **$N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$** 

<u>MODULUS (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.028 <sup>+0.009</sup> <sub>-0.002</sub>	103 <sup>+20</sup> <sub>-6</sub>	ROENCHEN	14	DPWA
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.020	-83	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel

 **$N(1710)$  BREIT-WIGNER PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES** **$N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$** 

<u>VALUE (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.014±0.008	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
0.050±0.010	SOKHOYAN	15A	DPWA Multichannel
-0.050±0.001	<sup>1</sup> SHKLYAR	13	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.05 ±0.01	GUTZ	14	DPWA Multichannel
0.052±0.015	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
-0.008±0.003	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
0.044	PENNER	02D	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only. **$N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$** 

<u>VALUE (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.0053±0.0003	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
-0.040 ±0.020	ANISOVICH	13B	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.017  $\pm$  0.003                   <sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA   12A   DPWA   Multichannel  
 -0.024                                PENNER       02D   DPWA   Multichannel

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

## N(1710) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

MUELLER	20	PL B803 135323	J. Mueller <i>et al.</i>	(CBELSA/TAPS Collab.)
HUNT	19	PR C99 055205	B.C. Hunt, D.M. Manley	
ANISOVICH	17A	PRL 119 062004	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
DENISENKO	16	PL B755 97	I. Denisenko <i>et al.</i>	
ROENCHEN	15A	EPJ A51 70	D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i>	
SOKHOYAN	15A	EPJ A51 95	V. Sokhoyan <i>et al.</i>	(CBELSA/TAPS Collab.)
GUTZ	14	EPJ A50 74	E. Gutz <i>et al.</i>	(CBELSA/TAPS Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ROENCHEN	14	EPJ A50 101	D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i>	
Also		EPJ A51 63 (errat.)	D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i>	
SVARC	14	PR C89 045205	A. Svarc <i>et al.</i>	(RBI Zagreb, UNI Tuzla)
ANISOVICH	13B	EPJ A49 67	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
SHKLYAR	13	PR C87 015201	V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel	(GIES)
ANISOVICH	12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
SHRESTHA	12A	PR C86 055203	M. Shrestha, D.M. Manley	(KSU)
BATINIC	10	PR C82 038203	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(ZAGR)
SHKLYAR	05	PR C72 015210	V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT, ANL)
HOEHLER	93	$\pi$ N Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP