



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

The parity has not actually been measured, but + is of course expected.

### $\Xi^0$ MASS

The fit uses the  $\Xi^0$ ,  $\Xi^-$ , and  $\Xi^+$  masses and the  $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$  mass difference. It assumes that the  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Xi^+$  masses are the same.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1314.86 ± 0.20 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1314.82 ± 0.06 ± 0.20</b>	3120	FANTI	00	NA48 $p$ Be, 450 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1315.2 ± 0.92	49	WILQUET	72	HLBC
1313.4 ± 1.8	1	PALMER	68	HBC

### $m_{\Xi^-} - m_{\Xi^0}$

The fit uses the  $\Xi^0$ ,  $\Xi^-$ , and  $\Xi^+$  masses and the  $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$  mass difference. It assumes that the  $\Xi^-$  and  $\Xi^+$  masses are the same.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>6.85 ± 0.21 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>6.3 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
6.9 ± 2.2	29	LONDON	66	HBC
6.1 ± 0.9	88	PJERROU	65B	HBC
6.8 ± 1.6	23	JAUNEAU	63	FBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.1 ± 1.6	45	CARMONY	64B	HBC See PJERROU 65B

### $\Xi^0$ MEAN LIFE

VALUE ( $10^{-10}$ s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.90 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2.83 ± 0.16	6300	<sup>1</sup> ZECH	77	SPEC Neutral hyperon beam
2.88 <sup>+0.21</sup> <sub>-0.19</sub>	652	BALTAY	74	HBC 1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$
2.90 <sup>+0.32</sup> <sub>-0.27</sub>	157	<sup>2</sup> MAYEUR	72	HLBC 2.1 GeV/c $K^-$
3.07 <sup>+0.22</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub>	340	DAUBER	69	HBC
3.0 ± 0.5	80	PJERROU	65B	HBC
2.5 <sup>+0.4</sup> <sub>-0.3</sub>	101	HUBBARD	64	HBC
3.9 <sup>+1.4</sup> <sub>-0.8</sub>	24	JAUNEAU	63	FBC

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.5  $\begin{matrix} +1.0 \\ -0.8 \end{matrix}$  45 CARMONY 64B HBC See PJERROU 65B

<sup>1</sup>The ZECH 77 result is  $\tau_{\Xi^0} = [2.77 - (\tau_{\Lambda} - 2.69)] \times 10^{-10}$  s, in which we use  $\tau_{\Lambda} = 2.63 \times 10^{-10}$  s.

<sup>2</sup>The MAYEUR 72 value is modified by the erratum.

## $\Xi^0$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the “Quark Model” review.

VALUE ( $\mu_N$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>-1.250 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
-1.253 ± 0.014	270k	COX	81 SPEC
-1.20 ± 0.06	42k	BUNCE	79 SPEC

## $\Xi^0$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda\pi^0$	(99.524 ± 0.012) %	
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda\gamma$	( 1.17 ± 0.07 ) × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda e^+ e^-$	( 7.6 ± 0.6 ) × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma^0\gamma$	( 3.33 ± 0.10 ) × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	( 2.52 ± 0.08 ) × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
$\Gamma_6 \Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	( 2.33 ± 0.35 ) × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	

### $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ (SQ) violating modes or $\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S2) modes

$\Gamma_7 \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$	SQ	< 9	× 10 <sup>-4</sup>	90%
$\Gamma_8 \Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	SQ	< 9	× 10 <sup>-4</sup>	90%
$\Gamma_9 p\pi^-$	S2	< 8	× 10 <sup>-6</sup>	90%
$\Gamma_{10} p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	S2	< 1.3	× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
$\Gamma_{11} p \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	S2	< 1.3	× 10 <sup>-3</sup>	

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 11 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 7.5$  for 7 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_2$	-57			
$x_4$	-82	0		
$x_5$	-7	0	0	
$x_6$	0	0	0	1
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_4$	$x_5$

 **$\Xi^0$  BRANCHING RATIOS** **$\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$**  **$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$** 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**1.17±0.07 OUR FIT****1.17±0.07 OUR AVERAGE**

1.17±0.05±0.06	672	<sup>3</sup> LAI	04A NA48	$p$ Be, 450 GeV
1.91±0.34±0.19	31	<sup>4</sup> FANTI	00 NA48	$p$ Be, 450 GeV
1.06±0.12±0.11	116	JAMES	90 SPEC	FNAL hyperons

<sup>3</sup> LAI 04A used our 2002 value of 99.5% for the  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$  branching fraction to get  $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

<sup>4</sup> FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$  branching fraction to get  $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.90 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$ . We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 **$\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**  **$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

<b>7.6±0.4±0.5</b>	397 ± 21	<sup>5</sup> BATLEY	07C NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
--------------------	----------	---------------------	----------	-----------------

<sup>5</sup> This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with internal bremsstrahlung.

 **$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$**  **$\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$** 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**3.35±0.10 OUR FIT****3.35±0.10 OUR AVERAGE**

3.34±0.05±0.09	4045	ALAVI-HARATI01C	KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV
3.16±0.76±0.32	17	<sup>6</sup> FANTI	00 NA48	$p$ Be, 450 GeV
3.56±0.42±0.10	85	TEIGE	89 SPEC	FNAL hyperons

<sup>6</sup> FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$  branching fraction to get  $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (3.14 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$ . We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 **$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**  **$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**2.52±0.08 OUR FIT****2.53±0.08 OUR AVERAGE**

2.51±0.03±0.09	6101	BATLEY	07 NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
2.55±0.14±0.10	419	<sup>7</sup> BATLEY	07 NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
2.71±0.22±0.31	176	AFFOLDER	99 KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV

<sup>7</sup> This BATLEY 07 result is for  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}^- e^+ \nu_e$  events.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6 / \Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.3 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2.17 ± 0.32 ± 0.17</b>	66	<sup>8</sup> BATLEY	13 NA48	<i>p</i> Be, 400 GeV

<sup>8</sup> BATLEY 13 used  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$  decay as a normalization mode and its branching fraction value of  $(2.51 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$  from BATLEY 07.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$   $\Gamma_6 / \Gamma_5$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0092 ± 0.0015 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.018 <math>\begin{smallmatrix} +0.007 \\ -0.005 \end{smallmatrix}</math> ± 0.002</b>	9	ABOUZAID	05 KTEV	<i>p</i> nucleus 800 GeV

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$   $\Gamma_7 / \Gamma_1$ 

Test of  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  rule.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 0.9</b>	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2500

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5		DAUBER	69 HBC
< 6		HUBBARD	66 HBC

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$   $\Gamma_8 / \Gamma_1$ 

Test of  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  rule.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 0.9</b>	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=2500

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 1.5		DAUBER	69 HBC
< 6		HUBBARD	66 HBC

 $\Gamma(\rho \pi^-) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$   $\Gamma_9 / \Gamma_1$ 

$\Delta S=2$ . Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 8.2</b>	90		WHITE	05 HYCP	<i>p</i> Cu, 800 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 36	90		GEWENIGER	75 SPEC	
< 1800	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=1300
< 900			DAUBER	69 HBC	
< 5000			HUBBARD	66 HBC	

 $\Gamma(\rho e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$   $\Gamma_{10} / \Gamma_1$ 

$\Delta S=2$ . Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 1.3</b>			DAUBER	69 HBC	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 3.4	90	0	YEH	74 HBC	Effective denom.=670
< 6			HUBBARD	66 HBC	

$\Gamma(p\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$					$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$
$\Delta S=2$ . Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.					
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;1.3</b>			DAUBER	69	HBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<3.5	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=664
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

## $\Xi^0$ DECAY PARAMETERS

See the "Note on Baryon Decay Parameters" in the neutron Listings.

### $\alpha(\Xi^0) \alpha_-(\Lambda)$

This is a product of the  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$  and  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  asymmetries.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.261 \pm 0.006</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$-0.276 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.035$	4M	BATLEY	10B NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
$-0.260 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005$	300k	HANDLER	82 SPEC	FNAL hyperons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$-0.317 \pm 0.027$	6075	BUNCE	78 SPEC	FNAL hyperons
$-0.35 \pm 0.06$	505	BALTAY	74 HBC	$K^- p$ 1.75 GeV/c
$-0.28 \pm 0.06$	739	DAUBER	69 HBC	$K^- p$ 1.7–2.6 GeV/c

### $\alpha$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

The above average,  $\alpha(\Xi^0)\alpha_-(\Lambda) = -0.261 \pm 0.006$ , divided by our current average  $\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.732 \pm 0.014$ , gives the following value for  $\alpha(\Xi^0)$ :

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
<b><math>-0.356 \pm 0.011</math> OUR EVALUATION</b>	

### $\phi$ ANGLE FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ ( $\tan\phi = \beta/\gamma$ )

VALUE ( $^\circ$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>21 \pm 12</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$16 \pm 17$	652	BALTAY	74 HBC	1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$
$38 \pm 19$	739	<sup>9</sup> DAUBER	69 HBC	
$-8 \pm 30$	146	<sup>10</sup> BERGE	66 HBC	

<sup>9</sup> DAUBER 69 uses  $\alpha_\Lambda = 0.647 \pm 0.020$ .

<sup>10</sup> The errors have been multiplied by 1.2 due to approximations used for the  $\Xi$  polarization; see DAUBER 69 for a discussion.

## RADIATIVE HYPERON DECAYS

Revised July 2011 by J.D. Jackson (LBNL).

The weak radiative decays of spin-1/2 hyperons,  $B_i \rightarrow B_f \gamma$ , yield information about matrix elements (form factors) similar to that gained from weak hadronic decays. For a polarized spin-1/2 hyperon decaying radiatively via a  $\Delta Q = 0$ ,  $\Delta S = 1$

transition, the angular distribution of the direction  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  of the final spin-1/2 baryon in the hyperon rest frame is

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{N}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha_\gamma \mathbf{P}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) . \quad (1)$$

Here  $\mathbf{P}_i$  is the polarization of the decaying hyperon, and  $\alpha_\gamma$  is the asymmetry parameter. In terms of the form factors  $F_1(q^2)$ ,  $F_2(q^2)$ , and  $G(q^2)$  of the effective hadronic weak electromagnetic vertex,

$$F_1(q^2)\gamma_\lambda + iF_2(q^2)\sigma_{\lambda\mu}q^\mu + G(q^2)\gamma_\lambda\gamma_5 ,$$

$\alpha_\gamma$  is

$$\alpha_\gamma = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}[G(0)F_M^*(0)]}{|G(0)|^2 + |F_M(0)|^2} , \quad (2)$$

where  $F_M = (m_i - m_f)[F_2 - F_1/(m_i + m_f)]$ . If the decaying hyperon is unpolarized, the decay baryon has a longitudinal polarization given by  $P_f = -\alpha_\gamma$  [1].

The angular distribution for the weak hadronic decay,  $B_i \rightarrow B_f\pi$ , has the same form as Eq. (1), but of course with a different asymmetry parameter,  $\alpha_\pi$ . Now, however, if the decaying hyperon is unpolarized, the decay baryon has a longitudinal polarization given by  $P_f = +\alpha_\pi$  [2,3]. The difference of sign is because the spins of the pion and photon are different.

**$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$  decay**—The radiative decay  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$  of an unpolarized  $\Xi^0$  uses the hadronic decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  as the analyzer. As noted above, the longitudinal polarization of the  $\Lambda$  will be  $P_\Lambda = -\alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma}$ . Let  $\alpha_-$  be the  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  asymmetry parameter and  $\theta_{\Lambda p}$  be the angle, as seen in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame, between the

$\Lambda$  line of flight and the proton momentum. Then the hadronic version of Eq. (1) applied to the  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  decay gives

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta_{\Lambda p}} = \frac{N}{2} (1 - \alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma} \alpha_- \cos\theta_{\Lambda p}) \quad (3)$$

for the angular distribution of the proton in the  $\Lambda$  frame. Our current value, from the CERN NA48/1 experiment [4], is  $\alpha_{\Xi\Lambda\gamma} = -0.704 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.064$ .

**$\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$  decay**—The asymmetry parameter here,  $\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$ , is measured by following the decay chain  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma$ ,  $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ ,  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ . Again, for an unpolarized  $\Xi^0$ , the longitudinal polarization of the  $\Sigma^0$  will be  $P_\Sigma = -\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$ . In the  $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$  decay, a parity-conserving magnetic-dipole transition, the polarization of the  $\Sigma^0$  is transferred to the  $\Lambda$ , as may be seen as follows. Let  $\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}$  be the angle seen in the  $\Sigma^0$  rest frame between the  $\Sigma^0$  line of flight and the  $\Lambda$  momentum. For  $\Sigma^0$  helicity  $+1/2$ , the probability amplitudes for positive and negative spin states of the  $\Sigma^0$  *along the  $\Lambda$  momentum* are  $\cos(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$  and  $\sin(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$ . Then the amplitude for a negative helicity photon and a negative helicity  $\Lambda$  is  $\cos(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$ , while the amplitude for positive helicities for the photon and  $\Lambda$  is  $\sin(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2)$ . For  $\Sigma^0$  helicity  $-1/2$ , the amplitudes are interchanged. If the  $\Sigma^0$  has longitudinal polarization  $P_\Sigma$ , the probabilities for  $\Lambda$  helicities  $\pm 1/2$  are therefore

$$p(\pm 1/2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp P_\Sigma) \cos^2(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm P_\Sigma) \sin^2(\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}/2), \quad (4)$$

and the longitudinal polarization of the  $\Lambda$  is

$$P_\Lambda = -P_\Sigma \cos\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} = +\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma} \cos\theta_{\Sigma\Lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Using Eq. (1) for the  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  decay again, we get for the joint angular distribution of the  $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ ,  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  chain,

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} d \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}} = \frac{N}{4} (1 + \alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma} \cos \theta_{\Sigma\Lambda} \alpha_- \cos \theta_{\Lambda p}) . \quad (6)$$

Our current average for  $\alpha_{\Xi\Sigma\gamma}$  is  $-0.69 \pm 0.06$  [4,5].

## References

1. R.E. Behrends, Phys. Rev. **111**, 1691 (1958); see Eq. (7) or (8).
2. In ancient times, the signs of the asymmetry term in the angular distributions of radiative and hadronic decays of polarized hyperons were sometimes opposite. For roughly 50 years, however, the overwhelming convention has been to make them the same. The aim, not always achieved, is to remove ambiguities.
3. For the definition of  $\alpha_\pi$ , see the note on “Baryon Decay Parameters” in the Neutron Listings.
4. J.R. Batley *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B693**, 241 (2010).
5. A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3239 (2001).

### $\alpha$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$

See the note above on “Radiative Hyperon Decays.”

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.704 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.064</math></b>	52k	<sup>11</sup> BATLEY	10B NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
• • •				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
$-0.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06$	672	LAI	04A NA48	See BATLEY 10B
$-0.43 \pm 0.44$	87	<sup>12</sup> JAMES	90 SPEC	FNAL hyperons

<sup>11</sup> BATLEY 10B also measured the  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}\gamma$  asymmetry to be  $-0.798 \pm 0.064$  (no systematic error given) with 4769 events.

<sup>12</sup> The sign has been changed; see the erratum, JAMES 02.

### $\alpha$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.8 \pm 0.2</math></b>	$397 \pm 21$	<sup>13</sup> BATLEY	07C NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV

<sup>13</sup> This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with the asymmetry  $\alpha$  for  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ , as expected if the mechanism is internal bremsstrahlung.



$\alpha$  FOR  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$ 

See the note above on "Radiative Hyperon Decays."

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.69 \pm 0.06</math></b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$-0.729 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.076$	15k	<sup>14</sup> BATLEY	10B NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
$-0.63 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$	4045	ALAVI-HARATI01C	KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$+0.20 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.05$	85	<sup>15</sup> TEIGE	89 SPEC	FNAL hyperons
<sup>14</sup> BATLEY 10B also measured the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$ asymmetry to be $-0.786 \pm 0.104$ (no systematic error given) with 1404 events.				
<sup>15</sup> This result has been withdrawn, due to an error. See the erratum, TEIGE 02.				

 $g_1(0)/f_1(0)$  FOR  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ 

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>1.22 \pm 0.05</math></b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$1.21 \pm 0.05$		BATLEY	13 NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
$1.32^{+0.21}_{-0.17} \pm 0.05$	487	<sup>16</sup> ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1.20 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$	6520	<sup>17</sup> BATLEY	07 NA48	See BATLEY 13
<sup>16</sup> ALAVI-HARATI 01I assumes here that the second-class current is zero and that the weak-magnetism term takes its exact SU(3) value.				
<sup>17</sup> This BATLEY 07 result uses our 2006 value of $V_{US}$ from semileptonic kaon decays as input.				

 $g_2(0)/f_1(0)$  FOR  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ 

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-1.7^{+2.1}_{-2.0} \pm 0.5$	487	<sup>18</sup> ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV
<sup>18</sup> ALAVI-HARATI 01I thus assumes that $g_2 = 0$ in calculating $g_1/f_1$ , above.				

 $f_2(0)/f_1(0)$  FOR  $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ 

VALUE	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>2.0 \pm 0.9</math></b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$2.0 \pm 1.3$		BATLEY	13 NA48	$p$ Be, 400 GeV
$2.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.5$	487	ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	$p$ nucleus, 800 GeV

 $\Xi^0$  REFERENCES

BATLEY	13	PL B720 105	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/1 Collab.)
BATLEY	10B	PL B693 241	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/1 Collab.)
BATLEY	07	PL B645 36	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/1 Collab.)
BATLEY	07C	PL B650 1	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
ABOUZAID	05	PRL 95 081801	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
WHITE	05	PRL 94 101804	C.G. White <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
LAI	04A	PL B584 251	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
JAMES	02	PRL 89 169901 (err.)	C. James <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG)
TEIGE	02	PRL 89 169902 (err.)	S. Teige <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, MICH, MINN)
ALAVI-HARATI	01C	PRL 86 3239	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	01I	PRL 87 132001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
FANTI	00	EPJ C12 69	V. Fanti <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	99	PRL 82 3751	A. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
JAMES	90	PRL 64 843	C. James <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG)
TEIGE	89	PRL 63 2717	S. Teige <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, MICH, MINN)
HANDLER	82	PR D25 639	R. Handler <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, MICH, MINN+)
COX	81	PRL 46 877	P.T. Cox <i>et al.</i>	(MICH, WISC, RUTG, MINN+)

BUNCE	79	PL 86B 386	G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH, RUTG+)
BUNCE	78	PR D18 633	G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, MICH, RUTG)
ZECH	77	NP B124 413	G. Zech <i>et al.</i>	(SIEG, CERN, DORT, HEIDH)
GEWENIGER	75	PL 57B 193	C. Geweniger <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH)
BALTAY	74	PR D9 49	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING) J
YEH	74	PR D10 3545	N. Yeh <i>et al.</i>	(BING, COLU)
MAYEUR	72	NP B47 333	C. Mayeur <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS, LOUC)
Also		NP B53 268 (erratum)	C. Mayeur	
WILQUET	72	PL 42B 372	G. Wilquet <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS+)
DAUBER	69	PR 179 1262	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
PALMER	68	PL 26B 323	R.B. Palmer <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
BERGE	66	PR 147 945	J.P. Berge <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
HUBBARD	66	Thesis UCRL 11510	J.R. Hubbard	(LRL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
PJERROU	65B	PRL 14 275	G.M. Pjerrou <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
Also		Thesis	G.M. Pjerrou	(UCLA)
CARMONY	64B	PRL 12 482	D.D. Carmony <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
HUBBARD	64	PR 135 B183	J.R. Hubbard <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
JAUNEAU	63	PL 4 49	L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC+)
Also		Siena Conf. 1 1	L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC+)

---