SEARCHES not in other sections

Magnetic Monopole Searches

The most sensitive experiments obtain negative results.

Best cosmic-ray supermassive monopole flux limit:

$$<~1.4\times 10^{-16}~{\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm sr}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}~~{\rm for}~1.1\times 10^{-4}<\beta<1$$

Supersymmetric Particle Searches

All supersymmetric mass bounds here are model dependent.

The limits assume:

1) $\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the lightest supersymmetric particle; 2) *R*-parity is conserved, unless stated otherwise;

See the Particle Listings for a Note giving details of supersymmetry.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \widetilde{\chi}_i^0 & -\operatorname{neutralinos} \; (\operatorname{mixtures} \; \operatorname{of} \; \widetilde{\gamma}, \; \widetilde{Z}^0, \; \operatorname{and} \; \widetilde{H}_i^0) \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \; > \; 0 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [\operatorname{general} \; \operatorname{MSSM}, \; \operatorname{non-universal} \; \operatorname{gaugino} \; \operatorname{masses}] \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \; > \; 46 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [\operatorname{all} \; \operatorname{tan}\beta, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_0, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} \; > \; 62.4 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [1 < \operatorname{tan}\beta < 40, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_0, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_3^0} \; > \; 99.9 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [1 < \operatorname{tan}\beta < 40, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_0, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} \; > \; 116 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [1 < \operatorname{tan}\beta < 40, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_0, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ & \widetilde{\chi}_i^{\pm} \; - \; \operatorname{charginos} \; (\operatorname{mixtures} \; \operatorname{of} \; \widetilde{W}^{\pm} \; \operatorname{and} \; \widetilde{H}_i^{\pm}) \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \; > \; 94 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [\operatorname{tan}\beta < 40, \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 3 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{all} \; m_0] \\ & \operatorname{Mass} \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \; > \; 1000 \; \operatorname{GeV}, \; \operatorname{CL} = 95\% \\ & [2\ell + E_T, \; \operatorname{Tchi1chi1C}, \; m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \; \operatorname{GeV}] \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} &- \text{long-lived chargino} \\ \text{Mass } m_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}} > 620 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{stable } \widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}] \\ \widetilde{\nu} &- \text{sneutrino} \\ \text{Mass } m > 41 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{model independent}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 94 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{CMSSM}, 1 \leq \tan\beta \leq 40, m_{\widetilde{e}_R} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 10 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 3400 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [\widetilde{\nu}_{\tau} \rightarrow e \mu, \lambda_{312} = \lambda_{321} = 0.07, \lambda_{311}' = 0.11] \\ \widetilde{e} &- \text{scalar electron (selectron)} \\ \text{Mass } m > 107 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{all } m_{\widetilde{e}_L} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 700 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{all } m_{\widetilde{e}_L} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}] \\ [2\ell + \mathcal{E}_T, m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R} = m_{\widetilde{\ell}_L} \text{ and } \widetilde{\ell} = \widetilde{e}, \ \widetilde{\mu}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 250 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2\ell + \mathcal{E}_T, \widetilde{\ell}_R, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 410 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2\ell + \mathcal{E}_T, m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R} = m_{\widetilde{\ell}_L} \text{ and } \widetilde{\ell} = \widetilde{e}, \ \widetilde{\mu}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 700 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2\ell + \mathcal{E}_T, m_{\widetilde{\ell}_R}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 94 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2+\ell^{\pm}, \widetilde{\ell} \rightarrow l \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}\nu] \\ \widetilde{\tau} \rightarrow \text{ scalar tau (stau)} \\ \text{Mass } m > 410 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2+\ell^{\pm}, \widetilde{\ell} \rightarrow l \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}\nu] \\ \widetilde{\tau} \rightarrow \text{ scalar tau (stau)} \\ \text{Mass } m > 81.9 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [2+\ell^{\pm}, \widetilde{\ell} \rightarrow l \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}\nu] \\ \widetilde{\tau} \rightarrow \text{ scalar tau (stau)} \\ \text{Mass } m > 90 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [m_{\widetilde{\tau}_R} - m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} > 15 \text{ GeV}, \text{ all } \theta_{\tau}, \text{ B}(\widetilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \widetilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100\%] \\ \text{Mass } m > 286 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [\text{igts} + \mathcal{E}_T, \text{ Tsqk1}, 1 \text{ non-degenerate } \widetilde{\alpha}, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}] \\ \text{Mass } m > 1.200 \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}, \text{ CL} = 95\% \quad [\text{R-Parity Violating}] \\ [\widetilde{q} \rightarrow q \widetilde{\chi}_1^0, \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \ell^{\ell}\ell^{\nu}, \lambda_{121}, \lambda_{122} \neq 0$$

```
\widetilde{q} — long-lived squark
      Mass m > 1340, CL = 95% [\tilde{t} R-hadrons]
      Mass m > 1250, CL = 95\% [\tilde{b} R-hadrons]
\tilde{b} — scalar bottom (sbottom)
      Mass m > 1.270 \times 10^3 GeV. CL = 95\%
            [b-jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tsbot1, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} {=} 0 GeV]
      Mass m > 307 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
            [\widetilde{b} 
ightarrow td or ts, \lambda_{332}'' or \lambda_{331}'' coupling]
\tilde{t} — scalar top (stop)
      Mass m > 1.310 \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\%
            [jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tstop1, m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < 300 \text{ GeV}]
      Mass m > 1100 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
            [\widetilde{t} 
ightarrow \mathit{be}, Tstop2RPV, prompt]
\widetilde{g} — gluino
      Mass m > 2.300 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\%
            [jets + \not\!\!E_T, Tglu1A, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} < 200 GeV]
      Mass m > 2.260 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\% [R-Parity Violating]
            [ \geq 4\ell, \lambda_{12k} \neq 0, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1} > 1000 GeV]
```

Technicolor

The limits for technicolor (and top-color) particles are quite varied depending on assumptions. See the Technicolor section of the full *Review* (the data listings).

Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

Scale Limits Λ for Contact Interactions (the lowest dimensional interactions with four fermions)

If the Lagrangian has the form

$$\pm \frac{g^2}{2\Lambda^2} \overline{\psi}_{\mathsf{L}} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{\mathsf{L}} \overline{\psi}_{\mathsf{L}} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{\mathsf{L}}$$

(with $g^2/4\pi$ set equal to 1), then we define $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_{LL}^{\pm}$. For the full definitions and for other forms, see the Note in the Listings on Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness in the full *Review* and the original literature.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Lambda_{LL}^{+}(e\,e\,e\,e) &> 8.3 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,e\,e) &> 10.3 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,\mu\,\mu) &> 8.5 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,\mu\,\mu) &> 9.5 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,\tau\,\tau) &> 7.9 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,\tau\,\tau) &> 7.2 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\ell\ell\ell\ell\ell) &> 9.1 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\ell\ell\ell\ell\ell) &> 9.1 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,q\,q) &> 24 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,q\,q) &> 37 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,u\,u) &> 12.5 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,u\,u) &> 12.5 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,d\,d) &> 11.1 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,d\,d) &> 26.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,c\,c) &> 5.6 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,c\,c) &> 5.6 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,b\,b) &> 9.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,b\,b) &> 10.2 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(e\,e\,b\,b) &> 10.2 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 22.3 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 40.0 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 23.10 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(q\,q\,q\,q) &> 13.1 \ {\rm none} \ 17.4 - 29.5 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(q\,q\,q\,q) &> 21.8 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.0 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.0 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,\mu\,q\,q) &> 5.4 \ {\rm TeV}, \ {\rm CL} = 95\% \\ \Lambda_{LL}^{-}(\mu\,\nu\,\mu\,q\,$$

Excited Leptons

```
The limits from \ell^{*+}\ell^{*-} do not depend on \lambda (where \lambda is the \ell\ell^{*} transition
     coupling). The \lambda-dependent limits assume chiral coupling.
e^{*\pm} — excited electron
     Mass m > 103.2 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from e^* e^*)
     Mass m > 5.600 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\% (from ee^*)
     Mass m>~356 GeV, CL =95\% (if \lambda_{\gamma}=1)
\mu^{*\pm} — excited muon
     Mass m > 103.2 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from \mu^* \mu^*)
     Mass m > 5.700 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\% (from \mu \mu^*)
\tau^{*\pm} — excited tau
     Mass m > 103.2 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from \tau^* \tau^*)
     Mass m > 2.500 \times 10^3 GeV, CL = 95\% (from \tau \tau^*)
\nu^* — excited neutrino
     Mass m > 1.600 \times 10^3 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from \nu^* \nu^*)
     Mass m > 213 GeV, CL = 95\% (from \nu^* X)
q^* — excited quark
     Mass m > 338 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from q^* q^*)
     Mass m > 6700 \text{ GeV}, CL = 95\% (from q^* X)
Color Sextet and Octet Particles
Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)
     Mass m > 84 GeV, CL = 95\%
                                        (Stable q_6)
Color Octet Charged Leptons (\ell_8)
     Mass m > 86 GeV, CL = 95\% (Stable \ell_8)
Color Octet Neutrinos (\nu_8)
```

Created: 8/11/2022 09:37

Mass m > 110 GeV, CL = 90% $(\nu_8 \rightarrow \nu_g)$

Extra Dimensions

Please refer to the Extra Dimensions section of the full *Review* for a discussion of the model-dependence of these bounds, and further constraints.

Constraints on the radius of the extra dimensions, for the case of two-flat dimensions of equal radii

(direct tests of Newton's law)
$$R < 3.8~\mu\text{m, CL} = 95\% \quad (p\,p \to j\,G) \\ R < 0.16 - 916~\text{nm} \quad \text{(astrophysics; limits depend on technique and assumptions)}$$

Constraints on the fundamental gravity scale

$$M_{TT}>9.02$$
 TeV, CL $=95\%$ (pp o dijet, angular distribution) $M_c>4.16$ TeV, CL $=95\%$ (pp o $\ell \overline{\ell}$)

Constraints on the Kaluza-Klein graviton in warped extra dimensions

$$M_G > 4.78 \text{ TeV}, CL = 95\% \quad (pp \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-)$$

Constraints on the Kaluza-Klein gluon in warped extra dimensions

$$\mathit{M}_{\mathit{g}_{KK}}~>~3.8$$
 TeV, CL $=95\%~~(\mathit{g}_{KK}
ightarrow~t\,\overline{t})$

WIMP and Dark Matter Searches

No confirmed evidence found for galactic WIMPs from the GeV to the TeV mass scales and down to 1×10^{-10} pb spin independent cross section at M = 100 GeV.